arXiv:1905.02861v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 8 Jun 2019

Orbitally defined field-induced electronic state in a Kondo lattice

G. G. Lesseux,¹ H. Sakai,² T. Hattori,² Y. Tokunaga,² S. Kambe,² P. L.

Kuhns,³ A. P. Reyes,³ J. D. Thompson,⁴ P. G. Pagliuso,¹ and R. R. Urbano¹

¹Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil

²Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1195, Japan

³National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee-FL, 32310, U.S.A.

⁴Los Alamos National Laboratory, 87545, Los Alamos, NM, USA

(Dated: June 11, 2019)

CeRhIn₅ is a Kondo-lattice prototype in which a magnetic field $B^* \simeq 30$ T induces an abrupt Fermi-surface (FS) reconstruction^{1,2} and pronounced in-plane electrical transport anisotropy³ all within its antiferromagnetic state. Though the antiferromagnetic order at zero field is wellunderstood, the origin of an emergent state at B^* remains unknown due to challenges inherent to probing states microscopically at high fields. Here, we report low-temperature Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) measurements revealing a discontinuous decrease in the ¹¹⁵In formal Knight shift, without changes in crystal or magnetic structures, of CeRhIn₅ at fields spanning B^* . We discuss the emergent state above B^* in terms of a change in Ce's 4f orbitals that arises from field-induced evolution of crystal-electric field (CEF) energy levels. This change in orbital character enhances hybridisation between the 4f and the conduction electrons (*c.e.*) that leads ultimately to an itinerant quantum-critical point at $B_{c0} \simeq 50$ T.

Development of the peculiar electronic state above B^* in CeRhIn₅ is signaled clearly in quantum oscillations,¹ magnetoresistance, 1,2 magnetostriction⁴ but not in specific heat.⁵ The lack of a detectable specific heat anomaly suggests that B^* may not reflect a well-defined phase transition but a crossover from one state to another⁴ where not only the Fermi surface reconstructs from smallto-large¹ but also in-plane anisotropy develops in electrical resistivity.³ Qualitatively, these responses could be consistent with a field-induced change in crystal and or magnetic structure from below to above B^* – a distinctly plausible interpretation that could be tested straightforwardly by a diffraction measurement if B^* were sufficiently low to be accessible in neutron or x-ray experiments. Even if such measurements could be made at fields to 30 T and higher, experiments point to a more complex picture, with similarities to other correlated electron systems. Electrical resistivity studies reveal a hysteretic transition at B^* that was interpreted initially to reflect the formation of a density wave, analogous to that found in correlated copper-oxide materials.² More recent studies are even more surprising:³ when an applied field is tipped about 20^0 from the tetragonal *c*-axis toward in-plane perpendicular directions, there is a striking inequivalence of electrical resistivity for current flow along each pair of orthogonal crystallographic directions. This unexpected in-plane symmetry breaking is consistent with a proposed strong XY nematic susceptibility that is similar to but distinct from Ising-nematicity that is found in high- T_c copper oxide,^{6,7} iron-pnictide^{8,9} and correlated ruthenate materials.¹⁰

Evidence for all the changes in electronic properties at B^* and their weak coupling to the crystal lattice^{3,4} appears only within the magnetically ordered state of CeRhIn₅. In this limit, partially Kondo-compensated Ce moments order below $T_{\rm N} = 3.8$ K in a spin-spiral structure with ordering wave-vector $\boldsymbol{Q} = (0.5, 0.5, 0.297)$ and moments in the tetragonal plane.¹¹ This structure, however, is unstable against modest applied pressure¹² or inplane applied magnetic field.^{11,13,14} The near degeneracy of accessible orders in CeRhIn₅ supports the possibility that a field of 30 T could change the nature of magnetism at B^* , but with little change in entropy or susceptibility. What might underlie the emergence of the new electronic state above B^* and a change in magnetic character, if this indeed happens, are fundamental questions raised by recent discoveries in CeRhIn₅ and are relevant more broadly to the physics of a Kondo lattice.

With its sensitivity to local spin, charge and lattice degrees of freedom,^{15,16} NMR is a powerful tool to probe the evolution of complex electronic states in correlated electron materials at very high magnetic fields.^{17–19} Figure 1 presents the ¹¹⁵In NMR spectra (I = 9/2) from two inequivalent sites of our CeRhIn₅ single crystal with B applied along the c-axis at 0.5 K below $T_{\rm N}(B)$. Each Ce atom is surrounded by four tetragonally coordinated In(1) and eight In(2) atoms with local orthorhombic symmetry (Fig. 1d and Supplemental Material). At low fields (Fig. 1a), there are 9 equally-separated transitions associated with In(1) NMR. In contrast, the lower relative intensities of the In(2) NMR signal are a consequence of spectral broadening due to a distribution of internal fields arising from an oscillating hyperfine (internal) field $B_{int}^{\|c}(2)$ associated with c-axis incommensuration of the spin-spiral magnetic structure^{20,21} (see Figure 1d and Supplemental Material).

At low-fields and well below $T_{\rm N}$, a hyperfine field of $B_{int}^{\perp c}(1) = 0.17$ T lies in the Ce-In(1) (*ab*-) plane and rotates between the adjacent layers with the incommensurate pitch of the magnetic structure shown in Fig. 1d.^{20,21} At higher fields with *B* applied along the *c*-axis, $B_{int}^{\perp c}(1)$ can be neglected ($B \gg B_{int}^{\perp c}(1)$). The magnetic field along the *c*-axis induces a canting of the Ce local moment¹³ (Figure 1d) leading to extra internal fields



FIG. 1: High field ¹¹⁵In NMR spectra for distinct regions of the B along-c versus T phase diagram of CeRhIn₅. **a**, **b** and **c** are In(1) and In(2) NMR spectra at 0.5 K for excitation frequencies 48.5 MHz, 290.65 MHz and 393.6 MHz respectively. The shaded magenta areas are simulations for the In(1) equidistant transitions, and the green area indicates a simulation for the incommensurate In(2) pattern (see Supplemental Material). The solid gray curve is the overall In-NMR simulated spectrum that includes both contributions. The non-hashed and hashed areas indicate the formal Knight shifts of In(1) and In(2) below $(K'_{\rm S}(1) = 7.4(1)\%, K'_{\rm S}(2) = 1.5(2)\%)$ and above $(K'_{\rm L}(1) = 5.2(1)\%, K'_{\rm L}(2) = 1.1(2)\%)$ the crossover at $B^* \sim 30.8$ T. The crossover field is indicated by a vertical orange dashed strip in b). Light gray symbols are data. The vertical gray and black arrows indicate the expected In(1) transitions for formal Knight shifts $K'_{\rm S}(1) = 7.4\%$ and $K'_{\rm L}(1) = 5.2\%$, respectively. The solid and dashed arrows indicate whether In(1) transitions were observed (solid) or not (dashed). The difference between gray and black arrows indicates a change in the shift $\Delta K'(1)$ for fields above $B^* \sim 30$ T, but the line-shape and width of the transitions remain similar across B^* . Although smaller, there also is a change in K'(2) as well, which follows the same trend as K'(1) and is discussed in the text. To assure confidence in these measurements in the AF_S and AF_L phases, we measured a spectrum at 290.65 MHz while sweeping the field up and at 393.6 MHz in a down-field sweep. Results were reproducible. An Al-NMR signal (not shown) was used as a field marker. The probe used to acquire the 48.5 MHz spectrum shows extrinsic NMR signals from 207 Pb and/or 209 Bi present in the solder and coaxial cable in the NMR circuit visible at 0.5 K, $B \sim 6.8$ T and 7.5 T. d CeRhIn₅ crystallographic structure. The Ce, Rh, In(1) and In(2) sites are indicated in dark blue, yellow, red and green, respectively. In the left figure, we also show the magnetic structure (black arrows at the Ce site) for zero field and, in the right figure, how the magnetic structure evolves to a conical configuration by applying a magnetic field along the c-axis. The respective hyperfine (internal) fields for In(1) and In(2) sites are indicated by red and green arrows, respectively. The field induced hyperfine (internal) field at In(1) and In(2) sites are indicated by gray arrows in the right figure.

 $B_{int}^{\parallel c}(1)$ and $B_{int}^{\parallel c}(2)$ at both In(1) and In(2) sites, respectively. Therefore the local field at In(1) can be modeled as:

$$B_{local}(1) \simeq \left[1 + K_{c.e.}^{\parallel c}(1)\right] B + B_{int}^{\parallel c}(1) \tag{1}$$

For the Kondo-lattice CeRhIn₅, the first term in Eq. (1) is associated with a contribution from itinerant quasiparticles and the second term with the internal field at In(1) due to the out-of-plane Ce-moment component. This internal field component $B_{int}^{\parallel c}(1) = A_{ord}^{\parallel c} \mu_{Ce} \cos(\beta/2)$, where $A_{ord}^{\parallel c}$ is the diagonal *c*-component of the hyperfine coupling tensor from the ordered local moments and β is the angle of the conical spin structure (see Fig. 1d). This term is proportional to *B* due to the Zeeman interaction. Therefore, the local internal field $B_{local}(1)$ at In(1) sites is:

$$B_{local}(1) = [1 + K'(1)] B, \qquad (2)$$

with K'(1) defining the formal In(1) Knight shift that bears contributions from both local and itinerant spin susceptibilities. In the case of In(2), the hyperfine field resulting from the in-plane ordered Ce moments follows the oscillatory non-commensurate character of the magnetic structure, $B_{int,0}^{\parallel c}(2) = B_{i0} \cos(2\pi Q_z z)$ (Fig 1d). The out-of-plane contribution of the Ce moments for the hyperfine field at the In(2) site lies in the *c*-direction²²⁻²⁴ and is also proportional to the external field due to the Zeeman interaction. Therefore the local field at an In(2) site can be defined in terms of a formal Knight shift, K'(2):

$$B_{local}(2) = [1 + K'(2)] B + B_{int,0}^{\parallel c}(2)$$
(3)

As indicated by solid vertical (gray) arrows in Figs. 1a and 1b, below $B^* \sim 30.8$ T the position of In(1) transitions can be calculated (see Supplemental Material) assuming a formal Knight shift $K'_{\rm S}(1) = 7.4(1)\%$ and quadrupolar frequency $\nu_Q = 6.77(1)$ MHz.

The subscript S stands for the magnetic phase AF_S, $B \leq B^*$, with a small FS and, as introduced later, L for AF_L, $B > B^*$, with a large FS. The formal Knight shift bears contributions from both local and itinerant spin susceptibilities. The value of $K'_{\rm S}(1)$ is consistent with the paramagnetic value²⁵ of $K^{\parallel c}_{\rm PM}(1) \simeq 8.0\%$. The spectrum from In(2) in the AFM phase can be calculated similarly by assuming a periodically oscillating internal field $B^{\parallel c}_{int,0}(2) = 0.27$ T along the *c*-axis,²¹ with nearly the same low-field quadrupolar parameters^{20,26} and a formal Knight shift $K'_{\rm S}(2) = 1.5(1)\%$. Taking these parameters into account, we calculate the ¹¹⁵In NMR spectrum that is given by red and green colours for contributions from In(1) and In(2), respectively. The gray solid curve is the simulated (convoluted) overall ¹¹⁵In NMR spectrum from both In signals.

The simulated spectra in Fig. 1b are made on the basis of low-field NMR parameters 20,26 that account well for spectra in Fig. 1a and agree with experiment for fields up to 30.8 T where some deviation from simulation and experimental results begins just where the new AF_{L} phase sets in. However, above $B^* \simeq 30.8$ T, the spectra are well simulated by keeping all low-field nuclear quadrupolar parameters but with an abrupt decrease of both In(1)and In(2) formal shifts from $K'_{\rm S}(1) = 7.4(1)\%$ to $K'_{\rm L}(1)$ = 5.1(1)% and $K'_{\rm S}(2) = 1.5(1)\%$ to $K'_{\rm L}(2) = 1.1(2)\%$, respectively, indicating absence of a detectable local structural distortion at B^* . The simulation remains comparably good at fields well above B^* (Fig. 1c). The larger $\Delta K'(1)$ compared to $\Delta K'(2)$ is consistent with the larger hyperfine coupling constant of In(1),²⁷ but the relative decrease of K'(1) and K'(2) is similar, implying a decrease in bulk magnetization²⁸ in the high-field state that is reflected in part by a decrease in the slope of the *c*-axis

magnetization around B^* .¹³ Opening a density-wave gap in the reconstructed large FS is consistent with the decrease in formal shifts if $K_{c.e.}$, which is proportional to the susceptibility of itinerant quasiparticles, dominates $K'_{\rm L}$. This is a scenario proposed previously,^{1,2} but, as we have concluded, the nesting wave vector that opens a gap must be similar to the zero-field Q. A related scenario is that the decrease in formal Knight shifts is due to a decrease in internal field $B_{int}^{\parallel c}(1) = A_{ord}^{\parallel c} \mu_{Ce} \cos(\beta/2)$ that arises from a reduction of the ordered moment, μ_{Ce} , and/or a decrease of the hyperfine coupling constant, $A_{ord}^{\parallel c}$. Both of these depend on the extent to which Ce's 4f electrons hybridise with band electrons²⁸ and, in the limit of stronger hybridisation, would reflect additional fspectral weight being transferred to band states,²⁹ with a corresponding increase of the FS. Because a magnetic field tends to weaken Kondo hybridisation as it polarizes spins of both conduction and localized electrons, this scenario superficially seems unlikely but as discussed below is supported by simplified model calculations.

From the high-field data and spectra simulation, we can conclude that the magnetic structure does not change qualitatively through B^* . One possibility is that the magnetic structure adopts the commensurate order with $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5, 0.5, 0.25)$ observed for CeRhIn₅ when $B^{\perp c} \gtrsim 2$ T^{11,14} that is not so different from the low-field incommensurate $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5, 0.5, 0.297)$. For a commensurate \mathbf{Q} , the internal field $B_{int,0}^{\parallel c}(2)$ at In(2) will take only distinct values, but an incommensurate \mathbf{Q} creates an oscillating $B_{int,0}^{\parallel c}(2)$ that produces a characteristic "double horn" spectral distribution pattern. Such a distribution is, indeed, revealed by the NMR data and simulation presented in Figs. 1b and 1c. We conclude that the magnetic structure of CeRhIn₅ remains incommensurate with a similar, if not identical, $\mathbf{Q} = (0.5, 0.5, 0.297)$ above B^* .

At high fields, the In spectrum, acquired in a hybrid 45 T magnet, broadens as shown in Figs. 1b and 1c. This broadening is more evident for the equidistant In(1) transitions where the linewidth increases from $\Delta L \simeq 0.020(5)$ T in the low-field limit to $\Delta L \simeq 0.10(1)$ T in the highfield limit. We consider possibilities for this broadening. Though not dramatically, the linewidth increases with increasing fields from 26 to 42 T, which likely is due to the crystal experiencing a slight field gradient in the hybrid magnet. From the magnet's known (in)homogeneity, we estimate that the linewidth would increase by at most 9 % in this field range. Field-induced electronic anisotropy from the proposed XY nematicity³ in principle should contribute to NMR line-broadening. Such a nematic electronic texture would induce anisotropy in the in-plane hyperfine field component at the In(1) site (Fig. 1d), resulting in line-broadening or even splitting each In(1) transition, and by breaking local tetragonal symmetry of the In(1) site, would produce non-equidistant In(1) transitions due to a modified electric field gradient (EFG). Within the accuracy of our measurements, however, the separation between In(1) transitions remains

constant for fields spanning B^* , and there is no clear evidence for splitting of In(1) transitions. Though the pronounced in-plane symmetry breaking of magnetotransport appears at B^* , weak magnetoresistive anisotropy begins to develop³ already near 17 T where specific heat and de Haas-van Alphen measurements with field along the c-axis also find the onset of enhanced Sommerfeld coefficient and quasiparticle mass.⁵ Whether these effects are precursors to proposed nematicity above B^* is unknown but, whatever their origin, conceivably could manifest in larger linewidths shown in Figs.1b and 1c. Nevertheless, In(1) lineshapes remain symmetric and do not broaden noticeably as field is swept through B^* . The absence of a change in crystal and magnetic structures as a function of field and particularly the abrupt decrease in formal Knight shift at B^* (Fig. 2) are primary conclusions that come directly from our NMR measurements.

The ground states of CeRhIn₅ and its isostructural family members, CeCoIn₅ and CeIrIn₅, depend on the orbital character of their 4f wavefunctions that determines the extent of f hybridization with In electronic states.³⁰ In a tetragonal environment, the CEF splits the J = 5/2 manifold of CeRhIn₅'s $4f^1$ state into three doublets whose energy separation and wave-functions (see Supplemental Material) have been determined by linear-polarised soft-X-ray absorption and inelastic neutron scattering experiments in zero magnetic field.^{31,32} Fields of order $B^* \simeq 30$ T ($\Delta_{\text{CEF}} \simeq 7 \text{ meV} \simeq 81$ K) are sufficient to induce mixing of the wave-functions of the Γ_7^2 doublet ground state with the first excited doublet state Γ_7^1 . This level mixing manifests as a bending of the field-dependent CEF energy levels (see Supplemental Material).

We now consider the consequences of magnetic degrees of freedom. Although a general solution of a theory of a strongly interacting Kondo lattice like CeRhIn₅ has not been solved, we incorporate the magnetic Rudderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction into the above electronic framework. This magnetic interaction is represented by an effective spin-spin interaction term, $j_k \mathbf{J_m} \cdot \mathbf{J_n}$. Specifically, we consider a simplified mean-field model with intra- and inter-layer nearest-neighbor (nn) exchange couplings ($j_x = j_y \equiv j_0$ and $j_z \equiv j_1$, Fig. 1d) to play the role of an effective RKKY interaction combined with the appropriate CEF hamiltonian term (see Supplemental Material).

Our model does not explicitly include the Kondo interaction but considers it to renormalise the bare spin-spin exchange, so that j_0 and j_1 are effective exchange coupling constants. With this simple mean-field model we calculate the specific heat thermal dependence (see Supplemental Material) constraining the value of calculated constants to give the zero-field Neel temperature $T_{\rm N} =$ 3.8 K and keeping the experimentally determined ratio $j_0/j_1 \simeq 8.^{33}$ For B = 0, we find effective $j_0 = 0.72$ K and $j_1 = 0.088$ K, which are an order of magnitude smaller than those derived from a model that gives the zero-field magnetic structure.³³ This is consistent to the fact that



FIG. 2: Results of a mean-field model with CEF, Zeeman and effective RKKY interactions. Measured and calculated $T_{\rm N}(B)$ for the set of coupling constants j_0 and j_1 shown in the inset. Both coupling constants are suppressed at a similar rate upon increasing magnetic field until $B^* = 30$ T. Above this field, their values increase, suggesting renormalisation of the effective RKKY interaction due to enhanced Ce 4f-c.e. hybridisation. See text for a discussion. The yellow diamonds are the measured In(1) formal Knight shift at 0.5 K.

thermal fluctuations tend to suppress the mean field ordering temperature for a quasi 2D system like CeRhIn₅ $(j_0/j_1 \simeq 8)$.

Following the same approach, we estimate the field dependence of j_0 and j_1 , shown in the inset to Fig. 2, that is required to reproduce the $T_N(B)$ phase boundary. As seen, j_0 and j_1 decrease linearly up to 30 T before increasing above B^* . From the Shrieffer-Wolff transformation, the Kondo exchange is proportional to the square of the f - c.e. hybridisation matrix element.³⁴ A reasonable interpretation of the increase in exchange constants above B^* , then, is that this reflects an enhanced hybrisiation in the high-field state due to field-induced change in the orbital character of the 4f wave function. Obviously, a more realistic theoretical framework that explicitly takes into account the Kondo interaction as well as a frustrating inter-layer next nn exchange and orbital degrees of freedom is desirable to substantiate our interpretation.

Our NMR measurements and model calculations thus provide a microscopic basis for the origin of the unusual electronic state that emerges at high fields in the Kondolattice CeRhIn₅: field-driven mixing of the orbital character of the 4f wave function enhances Kondo hybridisation that induces a large FS above $B^* = 30$ T where it experiences a density-wave instability due to nesting at a Q close to, if not the same as, that characterising magnetic order in the zero-field antiferromagnetic state. There is no detectable change in local structure at fields to 42 T. Except for the field scale B^* , which is specific to the Kondo interaction and crystal-field wave functions of CeRhIn₅, similar high-field states should be generic to Kondo-lattice materials. With the essential role of the orbital nature of wave functions and its consequences for Kondo coupling, B^* could be considered in the zero-temperature limit to reflect an orbitally selective type of Kondo-breakdown quantum-critical point^{35,36} within the ordered state. This is an interpretation suggested initially by Jiao *et al.*¹ and now we provide a microscopic rationale for it.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge enlightening discussions with F. Ronning, P. F. S. Rosa, M. Smidman, L. Jiao, H. Q. Yuan,

- ¹ L. Jiao, Y. Chen, Y. Kohama, D. Graf, E. D. Bauer, J. Singleton, J.-X. Zhu, Z. Weng, G. Pang, T. Shang, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **112**, 673 (2015).
- ² P. J. Moll, B. Zeng, L. Balicas, S. Galeski, F. F. Balakirev, E. D. Bauer, and F. Ronning, Nature Comm. 6, 6663 (2015).
- ³ F. Ronning, T. Helm, K. R. Shirer, M. D. Bachmann, L. Balicas, M. K. Chan, B. J. Ramshaw, R. D. McDonald, F. F. Balakirev, M. Jaime, et al., Nature **548**, 313 (2017).
- ⁴ P. F. S. Rosa, S. M. Thomas, F. F. Balakirev, E. D. Bauer, R. M. Fernandes, J. D. Thompson, F. Ronning, and M. Jaime, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 016402 (2019).
- ⁵ L. Jiao, M. Smidman, Y. Kohama, Z. S. Wang, D. Graf, Z. F. Weng, Y. J. Zhang, A. Matsuo, E. D. Bauer, H. Lee, et al., Phys. Rev. B **99**, 045127 (2019).
- ⁶ Y. Ando, K. Segawa, S. Komiya, and A. N. Lavrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 137005 (2002).
- ⁷ S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, and V. J. Emery, Nature **393**, 550 (1998).
- ⁸ R. M. Fernandes, A. E. Böhmer, C. Meingast, and J. Schmalian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 137001 (2013).
- ⁹ T.-M. Chuang, M. P. Allan, J. Lee, Y. Xie, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, G. S. Boebinger, P. C. Canfield, and J. C. Davis, Science **327**, 181 (2010), ISSN 0036-8075.
- ¹⁰ R. A. Borzi, S. A. Grigera, J. Farrell, R. S. Perry, S. J. S. Lister, S. L. Lee, D. A. Tennant, Y. Maeno, and A. P. Mackenzie, Science **315**, 214 (2007).
- ¹¹ D. M. Fobes, S. Zhang, S.-Z. Lin, P. Das, N. J. Ghimire, E. D. Bauer, J. D. Thompson, L. W. Harriger, G. Ehlers, A. Podlesnyak, et al., Nature Phys. **14**, 456 (2018).
- ¹² N. Aso, K. Ishii, H. Yoshizawa, T. Fujiwara, Y. Uwatoko, G.-F. Chen, N. K. Sato, and K. Miyake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 78, 073703 (2009).
- ¹³ T. Takeuchi, T. Inoue, K. Sugiyama, D. Aoki, Y. Tokiwa, Y. Haga, K. Kindo, and Y. ÅŒnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70**, 877 (2001).
- ¹⁴ S. Raymond, E. Ressouche, G. Knebel, D. Aoki, and

D. J. Garcia, N. Curro and C. Rettori. Work at State University of Campinas (Unicamp) was supported by CNPq (# 307668/2015-0) and FAPESP through grants # 2012/05903-6 and 2012/04870-7 and at Los Alamos by the U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering. Part of this work was performed and supported by JSPS KAKENHI through grant number JP16KK0106 and by REIMEI Research Program of JAEA. The work at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory was supported by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1157490 and the State of Florida.

- J. Flouquet, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19, 242204 (2007).
- ¹⁵ E. D. Bauer, Y.-f. Yang, C. Capan, R. R. Urbano, C. F. Miclea, H. Sakai, F. Ronning, M. J. Graf, A. V. Balatsky, R. Movshovich, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **108**, 6857 (2011).
- ¹⁶ S. Seo, X. Lu, J.-X. Zhu, R. R. Urbano, N. Curro, E. D. Bauer, V. A. Sidorov, L. D. Pham, T. Park, Z. Fisk, et al., Nature Phys. **10**, 120 (2014).
- ¹⁷ T. Wu, H. Mayaffre, S. Krämer, M. Horvatić, C. Berthier, W. N. Hardy, R. Liang, D. A. Bonn, and M.-H. Julien, Nature **477**, 191 (2011).
- ¹⁸ H. Sakai, Y. Tokunaga, S. Kambe, R. R. Urbano, M.-T. Suzuki, P. L. Kuhns, A. P. Reyes, P. H. Tobash, F. Ronning, E. D. Bauer, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 236401 (2014).
- ¹⁹ C. Berthier, M. HorvatiÄ[‡], M.-H. Julien, H. Mayaffre, and S. Krämer, Comptes Rendus Physique **18**, 331 (2017).
- ²⁰ N. J. Curro, P. C. Hammel, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B **62**, R6100 (2000).
- ²¹ N. J. Curro, New J. of Phys **8**, 173 (2006).
- ²² S. Kambe, H. Sakai, Y. Tokunaga, R. E. Walstedt, D. Aoki, Y. Homma, and Y. Shiokawa, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 144433 (2007).
- ²³ T. Ohama, M. Hirano, and S. Noguchi, Phys. Rev. B 71, 094408 (2005).
- ²⁴ Y. Tokunaga, Y. Saito, H. Sakai, S. Kambe, N. Sanada, R. Watanuki, K. Suzuki, Y. Kawasaki, and Y. Kishimoto, Phys. Rev. B 84, 214403 (2011).
- ²⁵ K. R. Shirer, A. C. Shockley, A. P. Dioguardi, J. Crocker, C. H. Lin, N. apRoberts Warren, D. M. Nisson, P. Klavins, J. C. Cooley, Y.-f. Yang, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) pp. E3067–E3073 (2012).
- ²⁶ Y. Kohori, Y. Yamato, Y. Iwamoto, and T. Kohara, Eur. Phys. J. B **18**, 601 (2000), ISSN 1434-6036.
- ²⁷ C. H. Lin, K. R. Shirer, J. Crocker, A. P. Dioguardi, M. M. Lawson, B. T. Bush, P. Klavins, and N. J. Curro, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 155147 (2015).

- ²⁸ N. J. Curro, Rep. Prog. Phys. **79**, 064501 (2016).
- ²⁹ Q. Y. Chen, D. F. Xu, X. H. Niu, R. Peng, H. C. Xu, C. H. P. Wen, X. Liu, L. Shu, S. Y. Tan, X. C. Lai, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 066403 (2018).
- ³⁰ T. Willers, F. Strigari, Z. Hu, V. Sessi, N. B. Brookes, E. D. Bauer, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, A. Tanaka, S. Wirth, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **112**, 2384 (2015).
- ³¹ A. D. Christianson, J. M. Lawrence, P. G. Pagliuso, N. O. Moreno, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, P. S. Riseborough, S. Kern, E. A. Goremychkin, and A. H. Lacerda, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 193102 (2002).
- ³² T. Willers, Z. Hu, N. Hollmann, P. O. Körner, J. Gegner, T. Burnus, H. Fujiwara, A. Tanaka, D. Schmitz, H. H. Hsieh, et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 195114 (2010).
- ³³ P. Das, S.-Z. Lin, N. J. Ghimire, K. Huang, F. Ronning, E. D. Bauer, J. D. Thompson, C. D. Batista, G. Ehlers, and M. Janoschek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 246403 (2014).
- ³⁴ J. R. Schrieffer and P. A. Wolff, Phys. Rev. **149**, 491 (1966).
- ³⁵ C. Pépin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 206401 (2007).
- ³⁶ Q. Si, S. Rabello, K. Ingersent, and J. L. Smith, Nature 413, 804 (2001).

arXiv:1905.02861v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 8 Jun 2019

Supplemental material for: Orbitally defined field-induced electronic state in a Kondo lattice

G. G. Lesseux,¹ H. Sakai,² T. Hattori,² Y. Tokunaga,² S. Kambe,² P. L.

Kuhns,³ A. P. Reyes,³ J. D. Thompson,⁴ P. G. Pagliuso,¹ and R. R. Urbano¹

¹Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil

²Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-1195, Japan

³National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Florida State University, Tallahassee-FL, 32310, U.S.A.

⁴Los Alamos National Laboratory, 87545, Los Alamos, NM, USA

1. ¹¹⁵In NMR in CeRhIn₅

The field-swept ¹¹⁵In-NMR spectra (In nuclear spin I = 9/2, natural abundance 95.7% and nuclear gyromagnetic ratio ¹¹⁵ $\gamma/2\pi = 9.3295$ MHz·T⁻¹) were obtained at 500 mK and at constant frequencies of 48.5 MHz (5.2 T), 290.65 MHz (31.2 T) and 393.6 MHz (42.2 T). A nucleus with $I \ge 1$ such as ¹¹⁵In has both an electric quadrupole moment eQ and a magnetic dipole moment. In this situation, for non-cubic sites the degeneracy of nuclear energy levels is lifted even at zero magnetic field due to the interaction between eQ and the electric field gradient (EFG) described by:

$$\mathcal{H}_Q = \frac{h\nu_Q}{2} \left[3I_z^2 - I^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} \left(I_+^2 + I_-^2 \right) \right] \tag{1}$$

where h is Planck's constant, $\nu_Q = e^2 q Q/2I(2I-1)$ is the quadrupole frequency along the principal axis of the EFG, with $eq = V_{zz}$. The asymmetry parameter of the EFG is defined as $eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_{zz}$ with the second derivative of the electrical potential $V_{\alpha\alpha}$ being the EFG along the direction α ($\alpha = x, y, z$).

When ¹¹⁵In experiences an EFG, the ten degenerate nuclear spin states split into five energy levels, yielding four resonance frequencies. However, for the NMR measurements, we applied magnetic fields to lift the degeneracy of the magnetic dipole degrees of freedom, even though the nuclear energy levels were already split by the electric quadrupole interaction. Therefore, the total effective Hamiltonian is described by:

$$\mathcal{H}_{N} = \mathcal{H}_{Q} + \mathcal{H}_{Z} = \frac{h\nu_{Q}}{2} \left[3I_{z}^{2} - I^{2} + \frac{\eta}{2} \left(I_{+}^{2} + I_{-}^{2} \right) \right] + \hbar\gamma_{n} \left[1 + K'(2) \right] I \cdot B$$
(2)

where K is commonly defined as Knight shift, B is the applied magnetic field and the term (1 + K)B is defined as the effective field B_{eff} .

In the following section, the Knight shift is redefined for the particular case, here as a formal Knight shift K', by taking into account the internal field created by the Ce 4f magnetic moments at the In sites.

2. Simulation of high-field ¹¹⁵In NMR spectra

The In(2) spectra in the ordered phase (T = 0.5 K) were simulated by assuming an oscillating internal field zcomponent given by $B_{int}^{\parallel c}(2) = B_{i0} \cos(2\pi Qz)$, where $B_{i0} = 0.27$ T was estimated at zero field^{1,2} and Q_z is the z-component of the incommensurate AF wave-vector **Q**.

The fields of resonance for a given frequency were calculated after diagonalising the effective nuclear spin Hamiltonian of Eq. 2 assuming:

$$B_{eff} = [1 + K'(2)]B + B_{int}^{\parallel c}(2)$$
(3)

Hence, the NMR pattern was obtained from a convolution of the calculated fields of the resonance with a Lorentzian function. It is worth mentioning that any incommensurate value of Q_z will lead to the same Å'double hornÅ' pattern when the magnetic field is along the c-axis. Although the Q_z -value is undetermined based solely on these NMR results, the In(2) NMR spectrum is very sensitive to a transition from incommensurate to commensurate Q_z .

Starting with CEF parameters³ of the zero-field doublet wave functions Γ_7^2 , Γ_7^1 and Γ_6 and fully diagonalizing the CEF + Zeeman Hamiltonian⁴, we calculate the effect of a magnetic field on these levels. The solid blue lines in Fig. 1A represent the field-dependent CEF energy levels of CeRhIn₅ for B||c.

Fields of order $B^* \simeq 30$ T ($\Delta_{\text{CEF}} \simeq 7$ meV $\simeq 81$ K) are sufficient to induce mixing of the wave-functions of the Γ_7^2 doublet ground state with the first excited doublet state Γ_7^1 . This level mixing manifests as a bending of the fielddependent CEF energy levels as shown in Fig. 1A for $B \parallel c$. Ignoring possible magnetic interactions (and consequently magnetic order) as well a Kondo hybridization that broadens the the ground and excited CEF levels, we calculate the corresponding magnetisation as a function of applied magnetic field $B \parallel c$ at 0.5 K, with the result shown in Fig. 1B. The calculated magnetisation bends over to a region of weaker yet monotonically increasing field dependence above 30 T, which is consistent with the decrease in formal Knight shift and enhanced hybridization near B^* as well as direct measurements of the magnetization.⁵ Given the simplicity of this approach, we do not expect the calculated field-dependent magnetisation to capture all features of the experimental data, but the result is suggestive.

In Fig. 1C we present the field dependence of the weights of pure $|\pm 5/2\rangle$ and $|\mp 3/2\rangle$ orbitals. The zero-field CEF Γ_7^2 doublet ground-state of CeRhIn₅ is a linear combination of both orbitals $\Gamma_7^2 \equiv |0\rangle = \alpha |\pm 5/2\rangle - \beta |\mp 3/2\rangle$ with $\alpha = 0.62$ and $\beta = 0.78$.^{3,6} As seen in this figure, the orbital contribution (weight) from the pure $|\mp 3/2\rangle$ gradually decreases and that from the pure $|\pm 5/2\rangle$ grows with increasing field. With its in-plane oblate configuration, the stronger $|\pm 5/2\rangle$ character of occupied Ce f orbitals at high fields promotes f-c.e. hybridisation between Ce and In(1) orbitals when B||c, even though field-induced polarization of spins tends to weaken hybridization. The Kondo effect and mixing of orbital contributions to the ground state should be smooth functions of applied field, but these results suggest that the competition between apposing tendencies is tipped abruptly in favor of increased hybridisation near B^* and is the origin of anomalies found in various physical properties. Illustrations of the actual shapes of the overall



FIG. 1: 4f crystal-electric field response to magnetic field. **a** Field-dependent Ce 4f CEF energy level scheme for B||c (solid blue lines). The hashed orange area near 30 T represents the field range where mixing between the ground- and first-excited Γ_7 CEF doublets occurs for B||c. This mixing is reflected as well by a change in slope of magnetisation (**b**) and occupancy of the pure $|\pm 5/2\rangle$ (**c**) near 30 T. **b** Simulation of the magnetisation as a function of B||c considering only CEF and Zeeman interactions at 0.5 K. This simulation produces a weaker slope but continued growth of M(H) above 30 T. The extrapolated solid red lines through calculated points indicate a crossover point between orbital states at 30 T. **c** Field dependence of the zero-field Γ_7^2 CEF doublet ground-state: the field-split eigenstates $\Gamma_{7,H}^2 = \alpha_H | + 5/2 \rangle - \beta_H | - 3/2 \rangle$ (green color) and $\Gamma_{7,L}^2$ $= \alpha_L | -5/2 \rangle - \beta_L | + 3/2 \rangle$ (blue color) and individual field-dependent orbital weight contributions $| \pm 3/2 \rangle$ (adshed lines) and $| \pm 5/2 \rangle$ (solid lines) of $\Gamma_{7,H}^2$ as well as the averaged orbital weight contributions of $| \pm 5/2 \rangle$ (solid red line) and $| \pm 3/2 \rangle$ (dashed red line). The red solid line (*dashed line*) indicates an overall enhancement (*suppression*) of the more oblate $| \pm 5/2 \rangle$ (prolate $| \pm 3/2 \rangle$) orbital upon increasing magnetic field. The evolution of the spatial form of Γ_7^2 orbital in real space for zero-field and $B^{\parallel c} = 30T$ (field split $\Gamma_{7,L}^2$ and $\Gamma_{7,H}^2$) is illustrated in **a**.

 Γ_7^2 ground-states orbitals in real space at zero-field and 30 T are displayed in Figure 1A.

4. Calculation of the mean field specific heat

The calculation of the specific heat data was obtained by solving the Hamiltonian used to describe the RKKY and CEF interactions in $CeRhIn_5$ given by:⁴

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\langle m,n \rangle} j_k \mathbf{J_m} \cdot \mathbf{J_n} + \sum_m \left[B_{20} \mathbf{O^0_{2,m}} + B_{40} \mathbf{O^0_{4,m}} + B_{44} \mathbf{O^4_{4,m}} \right] + g_J \mu_B \mathbf{J} \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$
(4)

where j_k (with k = 0 or 1, as indicated in Fig. 1d in the main text) represents the effective RKKY exchange interactions between neighbor spins, $\mathbf{J_m}$ and $\mathbf{J_n}$, and the second and third terms are the tetragonal CEF and Zeeman contributions, respectively. The on-site crystal field interaction is therefore fully taken into account. This model does not explicitly include the Kondo interaction but considers it to renormalise the bare spin-spin exchange, so that j_0 and j_1 in Eq. 4 are effective exchange coupling constants. The first term in Eq. 4, then, "mimics" magnetic (spin) interactions in a generic Kondo lattice but is unable to predict the magnetic structure of CeRhIn₅ that requires the inclusion of next-nearest neighbor interactions.⁷

With a mean field approximation where $\mathbf{J_m} \cdot \mathbf{J_n} \sim \mathbf{J_m} \langle \mathbf{J_n} \rangle$, we numerically diagonalised the electronic Hamiltonian of eq. 4 for each increment of applied magnetic field and evaluated the eigenvalues E_i and corresponding eigenfunctions:

$$|\phi_i\rangle = \sum_{m=-\mathbf{J}}^{\mathbf{J}} c_{im} |m\rangle \tag{5}$$

where $|m\rangle$ form a complete basis for the manifold of angular momentum **J**. The internal (U) and Helmholtz (F) free



FIG. 2: Specific heat from a mean-field model with CEF, Zeeman and effective RKKY interactions. Calculated mean-field specific heat for several magnetic fields applied along the *c*-axis at low temperatures. The red arrows indicate the measured magnetic ordering transition temperatures $T_N(B)$.⁸ This model does not predict long-range magnetic order for $B \ge 40$ T, $j_0 \le 0.72$ K and $j_1 \le 0.088$ K, but only gives a Schottky anomaly indicated by a question mark. This also signals the influence of interactions/fluctuations not accounted by this framework, specially for $B \ge B^*$. The inset shows temperature versus magnetic field phase diagram for CeRhIn₅. The circles and triangles are data extracted from Refs. 8 and 9, respectively.

energies were calculated using the equations:

$$U = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i} E_i \exp\left(E_i / k_B T\right) \tag{6}$$

$$F = -k_B T \ln Z \tag{7}$$

where $Z = \sum_{i} \exp(E_i/k_B T)$ is the canonical partition function and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. Therefore, the specific heat c is finally obtained by:

$$c = T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \tag{8}$$

after computing the entropy S by using the relation F = U - TS.

Hence, Figure 2 shows the magnetic field dependence of the specific heat as a function of temperature simulated to reproduce the measured B vs T phase diagram displayed in Fig. 3 in the main text. The crystal field parameters used for this calculation, $B_{20} = -10.789$ K, $B_{40} = 0.60343$ K and $B_{44} = 1.4854$ K, were extracted from Ref. 3. The Kondo interaction as well as the fluctuations were not taken into account by this mean field framework. Nonetheless, it was still used to qualitatively evaluate the attenuation of effective exchange parameters as a function of magnetic field.

- ¹ N. J. Curro, P. C. Hammel, P. G. Pagliuso, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, and Z. Fisk, Phys. Rev. B 62, R6100 (2000).
- ² Y. Kohori, Y. Yamato, Y. Iwamoto, and T. Kohara, Eur. Phys. J. B **18**, 601 (2000), ISSN 1434-6036.
- ³ T. Willers, Z. Hu, N. Hollmann, P. O. Körner, J. Gegner, T. Burnus, H. Fujiwara, A. Tanaka, D. Schmitz, H. H. Hsieh, et al., Phys. Rev. B 81, 195114 (2010).
- ⁴ P. G. Pagliuso, D. J. Garcia, E. Miranda, E. Granado, R. L. Serrano, C. Giles, J. G. S. Duque, R. R. Urbano, C. Rettori, J. D. Thompson, et al., J. Appl. Phys. **99**, 08P703 (2006).
- ⁵ T. Takeuchi, T. Inoue, K. Sugiyama, D. Aoki, Y. Tokiwa, Y. Haga, K. Kindo, and Y. ÅŒnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70**, 877 (2001).
- ⁶ A. D. Christianson, J. M. Lawrence, P. G. Pagliuso, N. O. Moreno, J. L. Sarrao, J. D. Thompson, P. S. Riseborough, S. Kern, E. A. Goremychkin, and A. H. Lacerda, Phys. Rev. B 66, 193102 (2002).
- ⁷ D. M. Fobes, S. Zhang, S.-Z. Lin, P. Das, N. J. Ghimire, E. D. Bauer, J. D. Thompson, L. W. Harriger, G. Ehlers, A. Podlesnyak, et al., Nature Phys. 14, 456 (2018).
- ⁸ L. Jiao, Y. Chen, Y. Kohama, D. Graf, E. D. Bauer, J. Singleton, J.-X. Zhu, Z. Weng, G. Pang, T. Shang, et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA) **112**, 673 (2015).
- ⁹ F. Ronning, T. Helm, K. R. Shirer, M. D. Bachmann, L. Balicas, M. K. Chan, B. J. Ramshaw, R. D. McDonald, F. F. Balakirev, M. Jaime, et al., Nature 548, 313 (2017).