

Spectroscopic Analysis of Vibronic Relaxation Pathways in Molecular Spin Qubit $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$: Sparse Spectra Are KeyAvery L. Blockmon,¹ Aman Ullah,¹ Kendall D. Hughey, Yan Duan, Kenneth R. O'Neal, Mykhaylo Ozerov, José J. Baldoví, Juan Aragón, Alejandro Gaita-Ariño,* Eugenio Coronado, and Janice L. Musfeldt*Cite This: *Inorg. Chem.* 2021, 60, 14096–14104

Read Online


ACCESS |

Metrics & More

Article Recommendations

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Vibrations play a prominent role in magnetic relaxation processes of molecular spin qubits as they couple to spin states, leading to the loss of quantum information. Direct experimental determination of vibronic coupling is crucial to understand and control the spin dynamics of these nano-objects, which represent the limit of miniaturization for quantum devices. Herein, we measure the magneto-infrared properties of the molecular spin qubit system $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Our results place significant constraints on the pattern of crystal field levels and the vibrational excitations allowing us to unravel vibronic decoherence pathways in this system. We observe field-induced spectral changes near 63 and 370 cm^{-1} that are modeled in terms of odd-symmetry vibrations mixed with f -manifold crystal field excitations. The overall extent of vibronic coupling in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is limited by a modest coupling constant (on the order of 0.25) and a transparency window in the phonon density of states that acts to keep the intramolecular vibrations and M_J levels apart. These findings advance the understanding of vibronic coupling in a molecular magnet with atomic clock transitions and suggest strategies for designing molecular spin qubits with improved coherence lifetimes.




INTRODUCTION

Quantum two-level systems based on spin states known as “spin qubits” are promising building blocks for the development of quantum technologies. In contrast to classical bits that are defined by two states “0” or “1”, qubits exploit quantum behavior by allowing superposition between the basis states. Among different physical platforms, chemistry contributes to this effort *via* the study of spin states in magnetic molecules, both in the form of molecular spin qubits and molecular nanomagnets. In this context, mononuclear lanthanide complexes provide ideal settings that represent the limit of miniaturization for quantum and classical magnetic memories, respectively.^{1–3} Hundreds of such systems have been characterized so far in an attempt to understand and control their physical properties.^{4–6} A judicious chemical design exploiting magnetic anisotropy and optimizing the molecular structure versus spin-vibrational coupling led to the observation of magnetic bistability up to 80 K and an effective energy barrier of 2217 K in the molecular nanomagnet $[(\text{Cp}^{\text{iprS}})\text{Dy}(\text{Cp}^*)]^{+7}$. Regarding molecular spin qubits, prominent examples include: (i) two vanadium(IV) complexes, namely, (i) $[\text{V}(\text{C}_8\text{S}_8)_3]^{2-}$, which shows a record phase memory time T_M of 0.7 ms;⁸ (ii) VOPc, with coherence times up to 1 μs at room temperature;⁹ (iii) a Cu^{2+} complex, $(\text{PPh}_4)_2[\text{Cu}(\text{mnt})_2]$ (mnt^{2-} = maleonitriledithiolate), that preserves coherence up

to room temperature¹⁰ when diluted in Ni^{2+} ; and (iv) a Ln^{3+} -based molecular nanomagnet, $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$, whose spin qubit dynamics are protected against magnetic noise at optimal operating points known as atomic clock transitions.¹¹ In this work, we focus on $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$, investigating the specific vibronic relaxation pathways^{12–15} that govern magnetic relaxation. Thus far, the T_1 (spin-lattice relaxation time) pathways that determine T_2 (the transverse relaxation time due to both spin–spin and phonon scattering) are largely underexplored—not only in this system but also in molecular spin qubits in general.^{16,17} In particular, while transitions between electron-nuclear spin states are protected from quantum decoherence at the atomic clock transitions, this protection of the quantum information is only from magnetic noise. Indeed, the system suffers from a 50% reduction of the T_2 relaxation time between 5 and 7 K due to thermal noise, which limits coherence.¹¹ This thermal dependence is intriguing in the sense that it cannot be explained by Orbach

Received: May 14, 2021

Published: August 20, 2021



processes. At very low temperatures and magnetic fields away from the clock transitions, the main source of decoherence will be dipole–dipole interactions.

Single-molecule magnet behavior in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ arises from the magnetic anisotropy of a Ho^{3+} ion ($J = 8$) encapsulated by two polyoxometalate moieties in an eightfold oxygen coordination environment with local slightly distorted D_{4d} symmetry (Figure 1).^{18–20} This assignment




Figure 1. Structure of the molecular anion $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$. Color code: Ho (green), W (gray), and O (red).

stabilizes an $M_J = \pm 4$ ground state. In the crystal, the $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$ anions are held together by interactions with Na^+ ions and free water molecules of crystallization with a space group $P\bar{1}$.²¹ The magnetic properties of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ have attracted significant attention. The out-of-phase *ac* magnetic susceptibility displays a maximum near 5 K over the 10^3 – 10^4 Hz range,¹⁹ and magnetization shows a slow relaxation¹⁹—a key signature of single-molecule magnetism.²² Electron paramagnetic resonance sports an eight-line spectrum due to the ground M_J -level hyperfine coupling to the $I = 7/2$ nuclear spin along with a tunneling gap of approximately 9 GHz (0.3 cm^{-1}).²³ Two-pulse electron spin echo measurements at optimal working points reveal spin coherence times up to $T_2 = 8.4 \mu\text{s}$ at 5 K when diluted to a 1% concentration in the isostructural diamagnetic matrix of the Y^{3+} -based analogue $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}_{0.01}\text{Y}_{0.99}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The high dilution limit for T_2 in other molecular spin qubits is usually achieved at concentrations around 0.01%.¹¹ Recent results operating at these special points reveal the possibility of quantum magnetoelectric coupling, where voltage control of the crystal field levels can be used to manipulate the spin qubit states.²⁴

Despite the importance of the crystal field levels in this system, spectroscopic information is limited to the aforementioned 0.3 cm^{-1} tunneling splitting in the ground doublet determined by electron paramagnetic resonance as well as the low-energy M_J levels at 41 and 49 cm^{-1} measured by inelastic neutron scattering by Vonci et al.²⁵ A number of authors have attempted to locate the position and determine the order of the rest of the crystal field energy levels in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$.^{25,26} While it is very much accepted that the seventeen different M_J levels reside between 0.3 and 400 cm^{-1} ,^{25,26} the exact pattern and order has been elusive due to the anisotropy of the system along with coupling to the vibrational levels.²⁵ Moreover, Ho^{3+} is a non-Kramers ion, so while at first glance, it appears that many of the crystal field levels are doubly degenerate, there is a very small energy scale that splits the M_J

levels and relieves their apparent degeneracies.²⁵ Although there is some prior infrared spectroscopy,¹⁹ the work focused only on the middle infrared and, as a result, did not explore excitations below 400 cm^{-1} which—based on energy scale arguments—are likely to interact with spin excitations and crystal field energy levels.^{27,28} At the same time, the vibrational features in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ are unassigned,¹⁹ making it difficult to test the order of the Ho^{3+} crystal field excitations or model coupling processes. This is important because, as a candidate qubit material, it is crucial to unravel all decoherence mechanisms that emanate from lossy responses in this frequency range.^{29,30}

To explore the dynamics of a molecular magnet with atomic clock transitions, we combined far infrared spectroscopy and high magnetic field techniques with lattice dynamics calculations and vibronic coupling models to reveal the low-energy excitations in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Strong magneto-infrared effects are observed near 370 and 63 cm^{-1} due to vibrational transition intensities modulated by mixing with *f*-manifold crystal field excitations. These structures place significant constraints on the position of several M_J levels—including the highest energy set—establishing stringent bounds on the entire series of excitations. Our analysis suggests that coherence in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ benefits from the limited frequency overlap between crystal field levels and the phonon manifold. Moreover, we demonstrate that the limited frequency overlap is due to a transparency window or “hole” in the phonon density of states. This renders many of the M_J levels ineffective in terms of engaging in vibronic coupling. While the concept of spectral sparsity has been articulated in the past, the approach has been mainly qualitative. The significant conceptual advance in this work is that we specifically identify the mechanism of vibronic coupling in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ including how and why some interactions are active while others are blocked. These findings provide a strategy for the chemical design of molecular nanomagnets and spin qubits with a full separation of electronic and vibrational excitations. Such an approach has the potential to eliminate vibronic coupling as a decoherence mechanism.^{31–34}

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Assigning the Low-Energy Excitations in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Figure 2 summarizes the far-infrared response of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. As a reminder, we focus our efforts on the low-frequency regime from 25 to 600 cm^{-1} because (i) the far-infrared contains key vibrations involving the Ho^{3+} center as well as crystal field levels and (ii) the middle infrared was studied in prior work.¹⁹ Figure 2 includes a number of important measurements, predictions, and simulations for comparison. Many of these excitations are vibrational in nature, and with the aid of our lattice dynamics calculations, we can assign the peaks and model the overall spectrum. For instance, we assign the dominant peak at 365 cm^{-1} as rocking and bending motions of HoO_4 . These motions are accompanied by minor distortions of the $(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})^{6-}$ cages. The theoretically predicted vibrational response (appropriately broadened) is shown in blue for comparison. The overall agreement with the measured spectrum is reasonable. Interestingly, our lattice dynamics calculations reveal a broad range—from approximately 250 to 330 cm^{-1} —where the fundamental excitations of the lattice are missing. The absence of spectral features in this region is a consequence of a hole in




Figure 2. Far-infrared spectrum of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at room temperature compared with calculated lattice dynamics of the building block anion and calculated f -manifold crystal field levels with various M_J 's. Neutron scattering data from ref 25 is included as well.

the phonon density of states. While less apparent than in the theoretical response, there is a wide minimum in the infrared spectrum in the same general vicinity. The implications of this structure will be discussed below. Complete vibrational mode assignments are available in Table S1 in the Supporting Information. The features listed in this table are primarily (but not exclusively) intramolecular vibrations. As a reminder, water-related vibrational modes resonate at higher frequencies; even the H_2O libration is above 450 cm^{-1} .^{35,36}

f -Block elements like Ho^{3+} also display crystal field levels in the far-infrared region.^{37–39} The exact position of the f -manifold levels varies significantly depending upon the details of the crystal field environment.^{15,40,41} Previous authors located the $M_J = \pm 3$ and ± 5 levels in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ via inelastic neutron scattering.²⁵ These energies are shown in Figure 2 in orange and help to define a lower limit to the crystal field manifold. As part of this work, we computed the full set of Ho^{3+} crystal field levels for this system. They are included in Figure 2 in green for comparison. Our predictions for the position of the $M_J = \pm 3$ and ± 5 levels are consistent

with the neutron scattering results.²⁵ The upper M_J levels obtained from our model are also in close proximity to various molecular vibrations involving the holmium and coordinated oxygen atoms. Hyperfine splitting of the Ho nuclear spin states is also consistent with the low-temperature specific heat.¹⁹

Figure 3 shows the calculated crystal field levels in greater detail along with their evolution under magnetic field applied along the long molecular axis. The evolution for other directions of the magnetic field is shown in Figure S7 in the Supporting Information. As expected, the Zeeman effect between 0 and 35 T widens the total spread of the crystal field levels, with each level acquiring a slope proportional to its expectation value $\langle M_J \rangle$. The slopes become nonlinear as a result of either avoided crossings among crystal field levels or transverse magnetic fields.

We also measured the far-infrared response of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as a function of temperature. As shown in Figure S2 in the Supporting Information, the lattice—as represented by the vibrational excitations—does not change significantly with temperature. These findings were verified by complementary measurements of the nonmagnetic analogue $\text{Na}_9[\text{Y}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Further, the Ho and Y analogues have identical transparency windows in the infrared response (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). The phonon density of states is therefore not radically different than in the diluted case represented by $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}_{0.01}\text{Y}_{0.99}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$.¹¹ Although there are uncoordinated waters in the crystal structure, the slight blue shifting of peaks demonstrates that hydrogen bonding does not strengthen significantly at a low temperature.⁴²

Magneto-Infrared Response of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Figure 4a,b displays the magneto-infrared response of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ at 4.2 K. The spectra are in the form of absorption differences, $\Delta\alpha(H) = \alpha(H) - \alpha(H = 0\text{ T})$, which serve to highlight field-induced changes. The latter are not always apparent in the absolute absorption. There are two primary structures that develop systematically under applied field: (i) a set of sharp features centered near 63 cm^{-1} and (ii) several broader, more complex structures between 335 and 400 cm^{-1} . Contour plots also show the development of the absorption differences with increasing magnetic field (Figure




Figure 3. Left: Calculated crystal field levels of the $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$ cluster anion. Note that the energies are normalized to the ground M_J state. The $M_J = 0$ level is singly degenerate, and the others are all doubly degenerate. These M_J levels are also shown in Figure 2 (along the top, in green). Right: Double y-axis plot of calculated crystal field levels as a function of magnetic field and vibrational mode intensities times the vibronic coupling coefficient (S_j).




Figure 4. (a, b) Magneto-infrared response of the features centered at 370 and 63 cm^{-1} , respectively, at 0, 5, 12.5, 20, and 35 T. The data are shown as absorption differences: $\Delta\alpha(\omega, H) = \alpha(\omega, H) - \alpha(\omega, H = 0 \text{ T})$. (c, d) Contour plots of the absorption difference spectra from (a) and (b) over the full range of magnetic fields. Scale bars are included.

4c,d). For comparison purposes, we performed similar measurements on the nonmagnetic analogue $\text{Na}_9[\text{Y}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. No field-induced spectral changes were observed—as anticipated.

We assign contrast in the absorption difference spectra of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ based upon the position of the intramolecular vibrations and f -manifold crystal field levels discussed in Figure 2. The magneto-infrared features centered near 370 cm^{-1} are in close proximity to several different vibrational modes including HoO_4 rocking and bending modes—all of which take place in the center of the cage. Therefore, at first glance, it may seem that the magneto-infrared response in this frequency region may be due to spin-lattice coupling. However, the lack of a change in the spin-spin correlation function argues against this interpretation.⁴³ Other candidate excitations include crystal field levels. The highest frequency set, $M_J = \pm 7$, is calculated by ourselves and others²⁵ to be in this vicinity. This suggests that vibronic coupling—in which the crystal field levels move with applied magnetic field and are mixed with (and affect the intensity of) nearby vibrational modes—may be responsible for the magneto-infrared contrast.^{28,44,45} We test both models in this work and establish the vibronic coupling model below. Thus, the assignment of the $M_J = \pm 7$ levels interacting with nearby phonons serves as an upper bound for the entire f -manifold of Ho^{3+} excitations. This assignment is based upon our detailed calculations of the crystal field levels (Figure 3). These crystal field levels shift with applied magnetic field and vibronically couple with appropriate vibrational modes while in their proximity. The maximum vibronic coupling that we calculate

in our system is $<0.25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ (Table S3, Supporting Information). This is the reason why the magneto-infrared response shows a relatively constant frequency while the intensity grows with field. We also see a progressive saturation of the magneto-infrared response with increasing field up to 35 T (Figure S4, Supporting Information). We expect that the magneto-infrared signature will decrease at even higher fields as the crystal field levels move away from the phonons with which they are mixed. Such a trend is observed in $\text{Co}[\text{N}(\text{CN})_2]_2$.²⁸

A similar mechanism can explain the contrast centered near 63 cm^{-1} (Figure 4b). While our dynamics calculations predict significant intensity for localized vibrational modes starting at 100 cm^{-1} (Figure 2), $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ has lattice modes involving asymmetric stretching of the HoO_8 along with cage tilting in the same frequency range as well. While the details depend upon the precise environment and long-range crystallographic orientation of the polyoxometalates, these vibrations can act as symmetry-breakers for the large number of f -manifold excitations in the vicinity. Contrast centered near 63 cm^{-1} in the magneto-infrared spectra of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is therefore attributed to odd-symmetry vibrational modes activating excitations involving nearby crystal field levels—specifically the $M_J = \pm 5$ levels. It is possible that the ± 2 levels contribute as well.

To elucidate the origin of the magneto-infrared response, we simulated the absorption spectrum of this molecular nanomagnet at different fields. In the absence of vibronic coupling, selection rules yield a trivial result that fails to reproduce the experimental behavior. Indeed this calculation results in the




Figure 5. Left: Simulated magneto-infrared spectra of the building block anion $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$ between 5 and 35 T. The data are presented in the form of an absorption difference for easy comparison with Figure 4. Right: Close-up view of the calculated magneto-infrared response over the same field range.

sum of purely vibrational features (with no field dependence) as shown in Figure 2 along with a collection of field-dependent but strongly forbidden transitions among f -manifold crystal field levels. We instead employ a more realistic model to estimate the transition dipole matrix between all possible vibrational and crystal field levels. Crucially, this model considers vibronic eigenfunctions, allowing for mixing between the two kinds of excitations (Section S3, Supporting Information).

We simulated the magneto-infrared response of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ using this approach (Figure 5). Examining the absorption difference spectra up to 35 T, we find consistent results, with two regions of notable effects at relatively low and high frequencies. There is a wide break in the absorption difference spectrum between 200 and 390 cm^{-1} devoid of any significant anticrossings.

Let us first discuss the high-frequency region (emphasized on the right-hand side of Figure 5), which corresponds to the most systematic set of experimental features. Here, the calculated absorption difference spectra evolve in a very simple manner, in good agreement with the experimental results. In particular, the calculations reproduce the gradual saturation of the absorption difference with increasing field (Figure S4). The microscopic origin of this behavior is modeled as a field dependence of the vibronic wave functions (Section S3.3, Supporting Information), including representative vibronic wave functions and energies at 0 and 5 T to illustrate the manner in which the magnetic field modulates the wave function mixing. Overall the agreement is very satisfactory, save for a rigid 40 cm^{-1} blueshift from our experimental features. This frequency shift is attributed to performing calculations on the isolated molecular $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$ anion as compared to the entire crystalline environment in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2] \cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. In the low-frequency region (left-hand side of Figure 5), the theoretically calculated features are similarly blue-shifted. In addition, the behavior is more complex—both in our measurements and simulation. Part of the complexity may be due to the high density of M_J levels in this region which undergo significant anticrossings (Figure 3). These M_J levels interact with nearby phonons as they pass across various resonance frequencies, and this vibronic coupling interaction is observable in both experimental and simulated magneto-infrared spectra.

Developing Molecular Design Criteria to Mitigate Vibronic Coupling.

One strategy for the rational design of longer-lived molecular spins is decoupling spin energy levels from vibrational excitations. The effort is generally twofold. First, one needs a sparse low-energy crystal field spectrum. Such a manifold of energy levels can arise from a very strong crystal field as in dysprosocenium,⁷ from the bunching of crystal field levels at higher frequencies as in Tb bis-phthalocyaninato sandwiches,⁴⁶ or from incorporating U as a magnetic center.⁴⁷ Altering the coordination environments can also displace the M_J levels to other regions of the spectrum. Second, one needs an effectively sparse low-frequency vibrational spectrum. This can result from a molecular environment that is either very simple, like in small molecules with fewer degrees of freedom,^{8,10} or very rigid systems, such as fully aromatic ligands,⁹ where vibrations are pushed to higher frequency or engage in exceptionally limited vibronic coupling. The point is that by placing these features off-resonance, thermal routes to decoherence may be weakened and potentially curtailed. Another method of separating the spin energy levels from vibrational excitations is by isotopic substitution. Use of heavier isotopes such as ^{18}O rather than ^{16}O obviously reduces mode frequencies and shifts the position of the transparency window (Figure 6). One could use this method to move vibrational modes away from nearby M_J levels




Figure 6. Calculated lattice dynamics spectra of $[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$ with different isotopes of oxygen. ^{18}O substitution decreases T_1 slightly—on the order of 1%.

as well. Pressure or strain might be an even more effective strategy for moving the phonon resonances out of the way.

In terms of the electronic spectrum, polyoxometalates offer the key advantage of maintaining a very similar coordination environment across multiple analogue systems. This is the case for $[\text{Ho}(\beta_2\text{-SiW}_{11}\text{O}_{39})_2]^{13-}$, $[\text{Ho}(\beta\text{-Mo}_8\text{O}_{26})_2]^{5-}$, and $[\text{Ho}\{\text{Mo}_5\text{O}_{13}(\text{OMe})_4\text{NNC}_6\text{H}_4\text{-}p\text{-NO}_2\}_2]^{3-}$.^{26,48} Just like $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$, these systems host near- D_{4d} environments and a tunnel-split $M_J = \pm 4$ ground state. They are also theoretically predicted to display atomic clock transitions, but with different crystal field energy level splitting schemes. In any case, we anticipate that the position of the M_J levels will be less sensitive to isotopic substitution, pressure, or strain.

Regarding decoherence pathways in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}_x\text{Y}_{(1-x)}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$, our analysis supports a qualitative understanding of the strongly temperature-dependent coherence time T_2 at the atomic clock transitions. T_2 is experimentally determined to be T_1 -limited up to concentrations of $x = 0.01$ and down to temperatures of 5 K.¹¹ In the present work, we demonstrate that there is a relatively dense population of energy levels—both crystal field and vibrational—in the region near 100 cm^{-1} , and that they engage in significant vibronic coupling. This means that even a small population of these levels at relatively low temperatures is expected to have a significant detrimental effect on coherence. We also explored this issue quantitatively, comparing Orbach processes with local mode relaxation mechanisms based on our calculated normal modes and vibronic couplings (Section S4, Supporting Information). For the local mode relaxation mechanism, we find that the thermal evolution of T_1 is consistent with experimental behavior, with a calculated relaxation rate that is approximately 3 times higher at 7 K compared with that at 5 K (Figure S11, Supporting Information). In $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}_x\text{Y}_{(1-x)}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$, there is limited frequency overlap between the crystal field levels and the phonon manifold due to the transparency window between 250 and 330 cm^{-1} . Should it be possible to alter the coordination environment to place this large hole in the phonon density of states at lower frequencies, it would render many of the vibronic pathways in this system ineffective in terms of generating decoherence. The transparency window in the phonon density of states renders some pathways ineffective even now. A full separation of the electronic and vibrational excitations is likely to entirely eliminate vibronic coupling as a decoherence mechanism.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

We combined far-infrared spectroscopy and high-field techniques with lattice dynamics and vibronic coupling models to explore the intersection of charge, structure, and magnetism and gain insight into the T_1 relaxation pathways in $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ —a model qubit system with atomic clock transitions. We find strong magneto-infrared effects near 370 and 63 cm^{-1} due to mixing of odd-symmetry vibrations with f -manifold crystal field excitations. Specifically, the $M_J = \pm 7$ crystal field levels couple to the various HoO_4 rocking and bending modes. At the same time, the $M_J = \pm 5$ levels near 63 cm^{-1} (and very likely the $M_J = \pm 2$ levels) are activated by nearby phonons such as asymmetric HoO_8 stretching with cage tilting. Moreover, we report the first direct evidence for a transparency window in the phonon density of states in a robust clock-like molecular spin qubit. Besides exploring the consequences of this hole on vibronic coupling, we use our

findings to propose design rules aimed at mitigating thermal decoherence pathways involving vibronic coupling. In addition to isotope effects and studies of chemically analogous Ho-containing polyoxometalates, we discuss specific suggestions for alternate rare earth ions and coordination effects as well as stiffer surrounding ligands. Both the site-specific spectroscopic techniques and the first-principles theoretical approaches demonstrated herein can be applied to determine phonons as well as the hierarchy of the crystal field energy levels in other f -block complexes, regardless of the coordination environment. This approach thus has the potential to accelerate the development of molecular spin qubits with improved lifetimes and higher operating temperatures.

METHODS

Crystal Growth and Sample Preparation. Single crystals of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were grown by solution techniques.¹¹ The nonmagnetic Y^{3+} analogue was prepared as well. To control the optical density, we combined the single crystals with paraffin (which is transparent in the far-infrared region) to create polycrystalline $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$ pellets with suitable optical density.

Far Infrared Spectroscopy. We employed a Bruker 113v Fourier transform infrared spectrometer equipped with a bolometer detector to reveal the far infrared response of $\text{Na}_9[\text{Ho}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]\cdot 35\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The measured transmittance $T(\omega)$ was converted to absorption as $\alpha(\omega) = -\frac{1}{hd} \ln(T(\omega))$. Here, h is the loading and d is the thickness.

An open-flow cryostat was used for temperature control. Magneto-infrared work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory in Tallahassee, FL using a Bruker 66V/S spectrometer and a 35 T resistive magnet. Absorption differences are ideal for revealing small field-induced spectral changes. They are calculated as: $\Delta\alpha(\omega, H) = \alpha(\omega, H) - \alpha(\omega, H = 0\text{ T})$. Here, H is the applied magnetic field and ω is the frequency. Stability tests, based upon zero/zero spectra, give an RMS noise level in the magneto-infrared measurements of approximately 0.2%.

Density Functional Theory (DFT) Calculations. The structural optimization of the crystallographic coordinates (in vacuum) and the vibrational modes calculations were carried out at the DFT level using the Gaussian16 package in its revision A.03.⁴⁹ Vibrational frequency calculations were performed using both the fully optimized structure and the X-ray crystal structure with no optimization. The PBE0 hybrid exchange-correlation functional was used for both optimization and frequency calculations in combination with Stuttgart RSC ANO basis set with effective core potential (ECP) for the Ho^{3+} cation. CRENBL basis set have been used for W with corresponding ECP potential and 6-31G(d,p) basis set had been used for oxygen. An 'ultrafine' integration grid and 'very tight' SCF convergence criterion were applied. Dispersion effects were taken into account using the empirical GD3BJ dispersion correction.

Ab Initio Calculations. The time-independent electronic structure was computed using the multireference complete active self-consistent field spin-orbit (CASSCF-SO) method as implemented in the OpenMOLCAS program package (version 18.09).⁵⁰ The molecular geometry was extracted from the crystal structure determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and was fully optimized at the density functional theory (DFT) level (*vide infra*). In addition, the electronic structure of the molecular geometry with no prior optimization was calculated. Scalar relativistic effects were taken into account with the Douglas-Kroll-Hess transformation using the relativistically contracted atomic natural orbital ANO-RCC basis set with VDZP quality for all atoms. The active space consisted of 10 electrons on the seven f -orbitals of Ho^{3+} ion. The molecular orbitals were optimized at the CASSCF level in a state-average (SA) over 35 quintets of the ground state term ($L = 6$ for Ho^{3+}). The wave functions obtained at CASSCF were then mixed by spin-orbit coupling by means of the RASSI approach. The combined effect of

the crystal field and the spin–orbit coupling were computed using SINGLE-ANISO module.^{S1}

Vibronic Coupling. For vibronic-coupling-dependent infrared absorption spectra, we defined an effective Hamiltonian described as

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = \hat{H}_S \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\text{vib}} + \mathbb{1}_S \otimes \hat{H}_{\text{vib}} + \hat{H}_{S-\text{vib}} \quad (1)$$

where \hat{H}_S , \hat{H}_{vib} , and $\hat{H}_{S-\text{vib}}$ correspond to the system, the vibration (bath), and the spin-vibration Hamiltonian, which can be written as

$$\hat{H}_S = \sum_{k=2,4,6} \sum_{q=-k}^k B_k^q \hat{O}_k^q(J) \quad (2)$$

$$\hat{H}_{\text{vib}} = \sum_j \hbar \omega_j (n_j + 1/2) \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{H}_{S-\text{vib}} = \sum_j \sum_{kq} \hat{Q}_j \left(\frac{\partial B_k^q}{\partial Q_j} \right) \hat{O}_k^q(J) \quad (4)$$

where B_k^q and $\hat{O}_k^q(J)$ correspond to the crystal field parameter and the Stevens operator, j is an index running over the vibrations, and n_j corresponds to the vibrational level of mode j . \hat{Q}_j denotes the

vibrational coordinate and the term $\left(\frac{\partial B_k^q}{\partial Q_j} \right)_0$ is the vibronic coupling for

a given vibrational mode j . To compute this term, we carried out *ab initio* calculations at the CASSCF-SO level. The introduction of vibronic coupling is key to compute the infrared absorption spectra, since due to the mixing more transitions will be optically allowed. Complete details can be found in [Supporting Information Section S3](#).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

SI Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c01474>.

Detailed explanation of the mode assignments, variable-temperature response, spectroscopic methods, and theoretical calculations (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Alejandro Gaita-Ariño – Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de Valencia, Paterna 46980, Spain; orcid.org/0000-0002-1600-8627; Email: gaita@uv.es

Janice L. Musfeldt – Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States; Department of Physics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-6241-823X; Email: musfeldt@utk.edu

Authors

Avery L. Blockmon – Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-0951-9832

Aman Ullah – Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de Valencia, Paterna 46980, Spain

Kendall D. Hughey – Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States

Yan Duan – Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de Valencia, Paterna 46980, Spain; orcid.org/0000-0002-2849-5602

Kenneth R. O'Neal – Department of Chemistry, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-9149-1957

Mykhaylo Ozerov – National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-5470-1158

José J. Baldoví – Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de Valencia, Paterna 46980, Spain; orcid.org/0000-0002-2277-3974

Juan Aragón – Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de Valencia, Paterna 46980, Spain; orcid.org/0000-0002-0415-9946

Eugenio Coronado – Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universitat de Valencia, Paterna 46980, Spain; orcid.org/0000-0002-1848-8791

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.1c01474>

Author Contributions

[†]A.L.B. and A.U. contributed equally to this work

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research at the University of Tennessee is supported by the National Science Foundation (DMR-1707846) and the Materials Research Fund through the University of Tennessee. Research at Universitat de Valencia is supported by the EU (ERC-2014-CoG-647301 DECRESIM, ERC-2018-AdG-788222 MOL-2D, the QUANTERA project SUMO, and FET-OPEN grant 862893 FATMOLS); the Spanish MCIU (grant CTQ2017-89993 and PGC2018-099568-B-I00 co-financed by FEDER, grant MAT2017-89528; the Unit of excellence 'María de Maeztu' CEX2019-000919-M); and the Generalitat Valenciana (Prometeo Program of Excellence, SEJI/2018/035 and grant CDEIGENT/2019/022). A portion of this work was performed at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, which is funded by National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1644779 and the State of Florida.

■ REFERENCES

- Coronado, E. Molecular magnetism: from chemical design to spin control in molecules, materials and devices. *Nature Reviews Materials* **2020**, *5*, 87–104.
- Gaita-Ariño, A.; Luis, F.; Hill, S.; Coronado, E. Molecular spins for quantum computation. *Nat. Chem.* **2019**, *11*, 301–309.
- Atzori, M.; Sessoli, R. The second quantum revolution: role and challenges of molecular chemistry. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 11339–11352.
- Woodruff, D. N.; Winpenny, R. E.; Layfield, R. A. Lanthanide single-molecule magnets. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 5110–5148.
- McAdams, S. G.; Aricau, A.-M.; Kostopoulos, A. K.; Walsh, J. P.; Tuna, F. Molecular single-ion magnets based on lanthanides and actinides: Design considerations and new advances in the context of quantum technologies. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *346*, 216–239.
- Aromí, G.; Roubeau, O. *Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths*; Elsevier, 2019; Vol. 56, pp 1–54.
- Guo, F.-S.; Day, B. M.; Chen, Y.-C.; Tong, M.-L.; Mansikkamäki, A.; Layfield, R. A. Magnetic hysteresis up to 80 kelvin in a dysprosium metallocene single-molecule magnet. *Science* **2018**, *362*, 1400–1403.
- Zadrozny, J. M.; Niklas, J.; Poluektov, O. G.; Freedman, D. E. Millisecond coherence time in a tunable molecular electronic spin qubit. *ACS Central Science* **2015**, *1*, 488–492.
- Atzori, M.; Tesi, L.; Morra, E.; Chiesa, M.; Sorace, L.; Sessoli, R. Room-temperature quantum coherence and rabi oscillations in

vanadyl phthalocyanine: toward multifunctional molecular spin qubits. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 2154–2157.

(10) Bader, K.; Dengler, D.; Lenz, S.; Endeward, B.; Jiang, S.-D.; Neugebauer, P.; Van Slageren, J. Room temperature quantum coherence in a potential molecular qubit. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, No. 5304.

(11) Shiddiq, M.; Komijani, D.; Duan, Y.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Coronado, E.; Hill, S. Enhancing coherence in molecular spin qubits via atomic clock transitions. *Nature* **2016**, *531*, 348–351.

(12) Hackermüller, L.; Hornberger, K.; Brezger, B.; Zeilinger, A.; Arndt, M. Decoherence of matter waves by thermal emission of radiation. *Nature* **2004**, *427*, 711–714.

(13) Chiroli, L.; Burkard, G. Decoherence in solid-state qubits. *Adv. Phys.* **2008**, *57*, 225–285.

(14) Graham, M. J.; Zadrozny, J. M.; Shiddiq, M.; Anderson, J. S.; Fataftah, M. S.; Hill, S.; Freedman, D. E. Influence of electronic spin and spin-orbit coupling on decoherence in mononuclear transition metal complexes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 7623–7626.

(15) Goodwin, C. A.; Reta, D.; Ortu, F.; Chilton, N. F.; Mills, D. P. Synthesis and electronic structures of heavy lanthanide metalocenium cations. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 18714–18724.

(16) Tarantul, A.; Tsukerblat, B. Magnetic relaxation in V15 cluster: Direct spin-phonon transitions. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2010**, *363*, 4361–4367.

(17) Tarantul, A.; Tsukerblat, B. Direct and two-phonon Orbach-Aminov type spin-lattice relaxation in molecular magnet V15. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **2011**, No. 012007.

(18) Shiozaki, R.; Inagaki, A.; Nishino, A.; Nishio, E.; Maekawa, M.; Kominami, H.; Kera, Y. Spectroscopic investigation of a series of sodium lanthanide decatungstates, $\text{Na}_7\text{H}_2\text{Ln}(\text{III})(\text{W}_3\text{O}_{18})_2 \cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Ln: La–Yb): the contribution of $4f^n$ electrons to bonding interaction among Ln(III) and polyoxotungstates. *J. Alloys Compd.* **1996**, *234*, 193–198.

(19) AlDamen, M. A.; Cardona-Serra, S.; Clemente-Juan, J. M.; Coronado, E.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Martí-Gastaldo, C.; Luis, F.; Montero, O. Mononuclear lanthanide single molecule magnets based on the polyoxometalates $[\text{Ln}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$ and $[\text{Ln}(\beta_2\text{-SiW}_{11}\text{O}_{39})_2]^{13-}$ (Ln^{III} = Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, and Yb). *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, *48*, 3467–3479.

(20) Gu, Y.-N.; Chen, Y.; Wu, Y.-L.; Zheng, S.-T.; Li, X.-X. A series of banana-shaped 3d-4f heterometallic cluster substituted polyoxometalates: syntheses, crystal structures, and magnetic properties. *Inorg. Chem.* **2018**, *57*, 2472–2479.

(21) AlDamen, M. A.; Clemente-Juan, J. M.; Coronado, E.; Martí-Gastaldo, C.; Gaita-Ariño, A. Mononuclear lanthanide single-molecule magnets based on polyoxometalates. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 8874–8875.

(22) Li, D.; Parkin, S.; Wang, G.; Yee, G. T.; Clérac, R.; Wernsdorfer, W.; Holmes, S. M. An $S = 6$ cyanide-bridged octanuclear $\text{Fe}_8^{\text{III}}\text{Ni}_4^{\text{II}}$ complex that exhibits slow relaxation of the magnetization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 4214–4215.

(23) Ghosh, S.; Datta, S.; Friend, L.; Cardona-Serra, S.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Coronado, E.; Hill, S. Multi-frequency EPR studies of a mononuclear holmium single-molecule magnet based on the polyoxometalate $[\text{Ho}^{\text{III}}(\text{W}_5\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}$. *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 13697–13704.

(24) Liu, J.; Mrozek, J.; Duan, Y.; Ullah, A.; Baldoví, J. J.; Coronado, E.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Ardanan, A. Quantum coherent spin-electric control in molecular nanomagnets. arXiv:2005.01029. arXiv.org e-Print archive. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2005.01029>, 2020.

(25) Vonci, M.; Giansiracusa, M. J.; Van den Heuvel, W.; Gable, R. W.; Moubarak, B.; Murray, K. S.; Yu, D.; Mole, R. A.; Soncini, A.; Boskovic, C. Magnetic excitations in polyoxotungstate-supported lanthanoid single-molecule magnets: an inelastic neutron scattering and ab initio study. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 378–394.

(26) Baldoví, J. J.; Clemente-Juan, J. M.; Coronado, E.; Duan, Y.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Giménez-Saiz. Construction of a general library for the rational design of nanomagnets and spin qubits based on mononuclear f-block complexes. The polyoxometalate case. *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 9976–9980.

(27) Brinzari, T.; Chen, P.; Sun, Q.-C.; Liu, J.; Tung, L.-C.; Wang, Y.; Schlueter, J.; Singleton, J.; Manson, J. L.; Whangbo, M.-H.; et al. Quantum critical transition amplifies magnetoelastic coupling in $\text{Mn}[\text{N}(\text{CN})_2]_2$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *110*, No. 237202.

(28) Brinzari, T. V.; Haraldsen, J. T.; Chen, P.; Sun, Q.-C.; Kim, Y.; Tung, L.-C.; Litvinchuk, A. P.; Schlueter, J. A.; Smirnov, D.; Manson, J. L.; et al. Electron-phonon and magnetoelastic interactions in ferromagnetic $\text{Co}[\text{N}(\text{CN})_2]_2$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *111*, No. 047202.

(29) Härtle, R.; Butzin, M.; Rubio-Pons, O.; Thoss, M. Quantum interference and decoherence in single-molecule junctions: how vibrations induce electrical current. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *107*, No. 046802.

(30) Ballmann, S.; Härtle, R.; Coto, P. B.; Elbing, M.; Mayor, M.; Bryce, M. R.; Thoss, M.; Weber, H. B. Experimental evidence for quantum interference and vibrationally induced decoherence in single-molecule junctions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, No. 056801.

(31) Escalera-Moreno, L.; Baldoví, J. J.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Coronado, E. Spin states, vibrations and spin relaxation in molecular nanomagnets and spin qubits: a critical perspective. *Chem. Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 3265–3275.

(32) Ullah, A.; Cerdá, J.; Baldoví, J. J.; Varganov, S. A.; Aragón, J.; Gaita-Ariño, A. In silico molecular engineering of dysprosocenium-based complexes to decouple spin energy levels from molecular vibrations. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2019**, *10*, 7678–7683.

(33) Garlatti, E.; Tesi, L.; Lunghi, A.; Atzori, M.; Vonshen, D.; Santini, P.; Sanvito, S.; Guidi, T.; Sessoli, R.; Carretta, S. Unveiling phonons in a molecular qubit with four-dimensional inelastic neutron scattering and density functional theory. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, No. 1751.

(34) Yu, C.-J.; Von Kugelgen, S.; Krzyaniak, M. D.; Ji, W.; Dichtel, W. R.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Freedman, D. E. Spin and Phonon Design in Modular Arrays of Molecular Qubits. *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 10200–10206.

(35) Dominguez-Vidal, A.; Kaun, N.; Ayora-Cañada, M. J.; Lendl, B. Probing intermolecular interactions in water/ionic liquid mixtures by far-infrared spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2007**, *111*, 4446–4452.

(36) Cole, W. T.; Fellers, R. S.; Viant, M. R.; Leforestier, C.; Saykally, R. J. Far-infrared VRT spectroscopy of the water dimer: characterization of the $20 \mu\text{m}$ out-of-plane librational vibration. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *143*, No. 154306.

(37) Marx, R.; Moro, F.; Dörfel, M.; Ungur, L.; Waters, M.; Jiang, S.-D.; Orliata, M.; Taylor, J.; Frey, W.; Chibotaru, L.; et al. Spectroscopic determination of crystal field splittings in lanthanide double deckers. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 3287–3293.

(38) Kumar, C.; Xiao, Y.; Nair, H.; Voigt, J.; Schmitz, B.; Chatterji, T.; Jalarvo, N.; Brückel, T. Hyperfine and crystal field interactions in multiferroic HoCrO_3 . *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2016**, *28*, No. 476001.

(39) Ungur, L.; Chibotaru, L. F. Ab initio crystal field for lanthanides. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 3708–3718.

(40) Newman, D. J. Theory of lanthanide crystal fields. *Adv. Phys.* **1971**, *20*, 197–256.

(41) Hallmen, P. P.; Rauhut, G.; Stoll, H.; Mitrushchenkov, A.; van Slageren, J. Crystal Field Splittings in Lanthanide Complexes: Inclusion of Correlation Effects beyond Second Order Perturbation Theory. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2018**, *14*, 3998–4009.

(42) Jones, B.; Varughese, P.; Olejniczak, I.; Pigos, J.; Musfeldt, J.; Landee, C.; Turnbull, M.; Carr, G. Vibrational properties of the one-dimensional, $S=1/2$, Heisenberg antiferromagnet copper pyrazine dinitrate. *Chem. Mater.* **2001**, *13*, 2127–2134.

(43) Casto, L.; Clune, A.; Yokosuk, M.; Musfeldt, J.; Williams, T.; Zhuang, H.; Lin, M.-W.; Xiao, K.; Hennig, R.; Sales, B.; et al. Strong spin-lattice coupling in CrSiTe_3 . *APL Mater.* **2015**, *3*, No. 041515.

(44) Sethi, A.; Slimak, J.; Kolodiazny, T.; Cooper, S. Emergent vibronic excitations in the magnetodielectric regime of Ce_2O_3 . *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2019**, *122*, No. 177601.

(45) Tang, F.; Su, Z.; Lao, X.; Bao, Y.; Zhu, D.; Xu, S. The key roles of 4f-level splitting and vibronic coupling in the high-efficiency

luminescence of Ce³⁺ ion in LuAG transparent ceramic phosphors. *J. Lumin.* **2020**, *225*, 117360.

(46) Ishikawa, N.; Sugita, M.; Ishikawa, T.; Koshihara, S.-y.; Kaizu, Y. Lanthanide double-decker complexes functioning as magnets at the single-molecular level. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 8694–8695.

(47) Escalera-Moreno, L.; Baldoví, J. J.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Coronado, E. Design of high-temperature f-block molecular nanomagnets through the control of vibration-induced spin relaxation. *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1593–1598.

(48) Baldoví, J. J.; Duan, Y.; Bustos, C.; Cardona-Serra, S.; Gouzerh, P.; Villanneau, R.; Gontard, G.; Clemente-Juan, J. M.; Gaita-Ariño, A.; Giménez-Saiz, C.; et al. Single ion magnets based on lanthanoid polyoxomolybdate complexes. *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 16653–16660.

(49) Frisch, M. J. et al. *Gaussian 16*, revision A.03, 2016.

(50) Galván, I. F.; Vacher, M.; Alavi, A.; Angeli, C.; Aquilante, F.; Autschbach, J.; Bao, J. J.; Bokarev, S. I.; Bogdanov, N. A.; Carlson, R. K.; et al. OpenMolcas: From source code to insight. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2019**, *15*, 5925–5964.

(51) Ungur, L.; Chibotaru, L. F. Ab initio crystal field for lanthanides. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2017**, *23*, 3708–3718.

■ NOTE ADDED AFTER ASAP PUBLICATION

This article was published ASAP on August 20, 2021. Text changes have been made throughout and the Supporting Information file has been updated. The corrected version was reposted on August 27, 2021.