

Microwave Synthesis and Magnetocaloric Effect in AlFe_2B_2

Dallas K. Mann, YiXu Wang, Jeanette D. Marks, Geoffrey F. Strouse, and Michael Shatruk*

Cite This: *Inorg. Chem.* 2020, 59, 12625–12631

Read Online


ACCESS |

Metrics & More

Article Recommendations

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A promising magnetic refrigerant, AlFe_2B_2 , has been prepared for the first time by microwave (MW) melting of a mixture of constituent elements. For comparison, samples of AlFe_2B_2 have been also prepared by arc-melting, traditionally used for the synthesis of this material, and by induction (RF) melting, which was used in the very first report on the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 . Although an excess of Al has to be used to suppress the formation of ferromagnetic FeB, the other byproduct, $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$, is easily removed by acid treatment, affording phase-pure samples of AlFe_2B_2 . Our analysis indicates that the equimolar Fe/B ratio typically used for the preparation of AlFe_2B_2 might not provide the best synthetic conditions, as it does not account for the full reaction stoichiometry. Furthermore, we find that the initial Al/Fe loading ratio strongly influences magnetic properties of the sample, as judged by the range of ferromagnetic ordering temperatures ($T_C = 280\text{--}293\text{ K}$) observed in our experiments. The T_C value increases with the decrease in the Al/Fe ratio, due to the change in the Al/Fe antisite disorder. The use of the same Al/Fe loading ratio in the arc-, RF-, and MW-melting experiments leads to samples with a more consistent T_C of 286–287 K and similar values of the magnetocaloric effect.



INTRODUCTION

Room-temperature magnetic refrigeration is an appealing emerging technology that improves on current vapor compression refrigerants by offering higher cooling efficiencies and eliminating the emission of greenhouse gases.¹ This cooling method relies on the magnetocaloric effect (MCE), first reported by Weiss and Piccard in 1917.² The MCE is characterized as a reversible adiabatic temperature change or a reversible isothermal entropy change upon application and removal of an external magnetic field. The research on magnetocalorics has skyrocketed since 1997, with the discovery of a giant MCE near room temperature (RT) in $\text{Gd}_5\text{Si}_2\text{Ge}_2$.³ Since then, a number of other giant-MCE materials have come to the forefront of this research area, although many of them contain expensive or toxic elements.^{4–6}


In recent years, AlFe_2B_2 has become one of the most investigated systems among magnetocalorics composed of earth-abundant elements.^{7,8} The near-RT magnetic ordering and soft ferromagnetism observed for this material are desirable parameters for the practical implementation of magnetocalorics in household cooling technologies. Nevertheless, despite the progress made in understanding the magnetic structure⁹ and the nature of magneto-structural coupling¹⁰ associated with the FM ordering in AlFe_2B_2 , the synthesis of this material remains thwarted by the presence of impurity phases, such as FeB and $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$, which exhibit ferromagnetism and paramagnetism, respectively, at the Curie temperature (T_C) of AlFe_2B_2 .¹¹

The presence of FeB in the arc-melted samples of AlFe_2B_2 can be avoided by using an excess of aluminum, while the $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ byproduct can be dissolved in dilute hydrochloric acid (HCl). Using this approach, our group achieved the first synthesis of phase-pure bulk AlFe_2B_2 .⁷ In the same work, we also demonstrated that phase-pure AlFe_2B_2 could be obtained by a stoichiometric reaction between elements in Ga flux, which was subsequently removed by treatment with a solution of iodine in *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF). In contrast to arc-melting, this method is more laborious, albeit Ga, if desired, can be almost quantitatively recovered by chemical or electrochemical reduction of the obtained GaI_3 solution in DMF.

Given the growing interest to the magnetocaloric properties of AlFe_2B_2 , we have considered alternative protocols for the synthesis of this material, which, thus far, has been commonly obtained by arc-melting.¹² Only a few works reported alternative methods. Beyond the aforementioned synthesis in Ga flux, AlFe_2B_2 was also prepared in Al flux (in a so-called self-flux process), which allowed the growth of sufficiently large single crystals.^{13–15} Application of spark-plasma sintering

Received: June 11, 2020

Published: August 13, 2020



allowed the synthesis of compacted powder and partial substitution of Co for Fe, which was challenging to achieve by arc-melting.¹⁵ Melt-spinning of a stoichiometric mixture was claimed to lead to a nearly phase-pure AlFe_2B_2 , with less than 2% of FeB impurity.¹⁶ Surprisingly, no efforts have been reported to reproduce the very first synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 by Jeitschko, who obtained single crystals of this material by induction (RF) melting.¹⁷ Driven by this lapse, we set out to reinvestigate the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 by RF-melting, as well as to apply a more accessible technique appropriate for fast heating of metal-containing compositions, i.e., microwave (MW) melting. Applications of MW heating to the synthesis of solid-state materials are likely to expand, given the simplicity of the experimental setup and rapid and uniform heating of samples afforded by MW irradiation.¹⁸ Herein, we describe a comparative study of the synthesis and magnetic properties of AlFe_2B_2 obtained by arc-, RF-, and MW-melting. We demonstrate that the MW technique allows for the rapid synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 , with the quality of the material comparable to that obtained by the more traditional arc-melting method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Starting Materials. All manipulations during sample preparation were carried out in an Ar-filled drybox (content of $\text{O}_2 < 0.5$ ppm). Powders of aluminum (99.97%), iron (99.8%), and crystalline boron (98%) were obtained from Alfa Aesar. The iron powder was additionally purified by heating in a flow of H_2 gas at 500 °C for 5 h. The other materials were used as received.

Method 1: Arc-Melting. Starting materials were mixed in Al/Fe/B ratios of 3:2:2 and 3:2.6:2 (the total mass of each sample was 0.35 g) and pressed into pellets. Each pellet was arc-melted under argon and flipped each time between 4 consecutive meltings to ensure uniform processing. The resulting ingots were sealed under vacuum ($\sim 10^{-4}$ Torr) in fused silica tubes of 10 mm inner diameter (i.d.) and subjected to homogenizing annealing at 900 °C for 1 week, followed by cooling to RT in a switched-off furnace.

Method 2: Induction (RF) Melting. Starting materials were mixed in Al/Fe/B ratios of 7:7:6 and 3:2.6:2 (the total mass of each sample was 0.35 g) and pressed into pellets. Each pellet was loaded into an alumina crucible (3 mL) that was placed into a larger alumina crucible with Ta foil lining the space between the walls of two crucibles. The assembly was suspended inside a silica tube placed in the center of a 3 kW radio frequency (RF) coil (Hüttinger) inside an Ar-filled drybox. The temperature of the sample was monitored with a pyrometer. The pellet was heated to ~ 1600 °C in ~ 12 min, kept at that temperature for 1 h, and cooled to RT at ~ 50 °C/min. Homogenizing annealing of the ingot was performed as described in Method 1: Arc-Melting.

Method 3: Microwave (MW) Melting. Starting materials were mixed in the Al/Fe/B ratio of 3:2.6:2 (the total mass of the sample was 0.50 g), pressed into a pellet, and loaded into an alumina crucible (3 mL). The crucible was sealed under vacuum ($\sim 10^{-4}$ Torr) in a fused silica tube of 10 mm i.d. Dry glass wool was loaded below and above the alumina crucible to prevent possible collapse of the silica tube during rapid MW heating of the sample. The tube was placed in a MW reactor operating at 0.5 kW and heated for 5 min, after which the reactor was turned off. After cooling to RT, the tube was open in air, and the sample was transferred to a new silica tube, sealed under vacuum, and subjected to homogenizing annealing as described in Method 1: Arc-Melting.

The samples obtained by all three methods contained $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ byproduct, which was removed by stirring each sample in a dilute HCl solution (1:1 v/v) for ~ 10 min, following the protocol described earlier.⁷ The phase purity of the resulting samples was confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD).

Powder X-ray Diffraction. Room-temperature PXRD was carried out on a Panalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer with an X'Celerator detector using $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.54187$ Å). Each pattern was recorded in the 2θ range from 10° to 80° with a step of 0.05° and the total collection time of 1 h. The analysis of PXRD patterns was carried out with the HighScore Plus software (Panalytical).¹⁹

Physical Measurement. Elemental analysis was carried out on a JEOL 5900 scanning electron microscope with an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) microanalysis. All magnetic measurements were performed on polycrystalline samples using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer MPMS-XL. Temperature-dependent magnetization was measured in the range of 1.8–390 K under an applied field of 0.01 T. To calculate the MCE values, magnetization isotherms were recorded at temperatures 40 K above and below the Curie temperature (T_C) of each sample, with the magnetic field varied from 0 to 5 T. The temperature was changed in a variable increment, with smaller steps taken around the T_C .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 . The most conventional method used for the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 is arc-melting (see Table 1

Table 1. Summary of Works That Reported the Synthesis of Phase-Pure AlFe_2B_2

synthesis method	post-annealing (yes/no)	Al/Fe/B ratio	acid treated (yes/no)	reference
arc-melting	Y	3/2/2 or 3/2.6/2	Y	this work
RF-melting	Y	7/7/6 or 3/2.6/2	Y	
MW-melting	Y	3/2.6/2	Y	
arc-melting	Y	3/2/2	Y	7 and 21
annealing (Ga flux)	Y	1.5/1.8/2 (+10 Ga)	Y	7
arc-melting	Y	1.5/2 (Al/FeB)	Y	9
arc-melting	Y	3/2/2	Y	22
annealing (self-flux)	Y	5/3/2	Y	13
annealing (self-flux)	Y	1.5/1.5/2	Y	14
arc-melting	Y	1.5/2/2	Y	23
arc-melting	Y	(2–3)/2/2	Y	15
annealing (self-flux)	Y	(2–6)/2/2	Y	
melt-spinning	Y	1/2/2	N	16
arc-melting	Y	1.5/2 (Al/FeB)	Y	24
arc-melting	Y	1.2/2/2	N	11
RF-melting ^a	N	7/7/6	Y	17

^aCrystals were selected from a multiphase sample.

and references therein). Under stoichiometric conditions, the major phase formed is AlFe_2B_2 , but one also observes $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ or FeB as byproducts, depending on the Al/Fe/B ratio. The presence of FeB is problematic, as it is extremely difficult to eliminate this compound from the product mixture. Therefore, an excess of Al has been used to suppress the formation of FeB.^{7,8} For example, annealing a sample with the Al/Fe/B ratio of 3:2:2 results in the formation of AlFe_2B_2 contaminated only with $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$. The latter can be readily removed by treating the mixture with dilute HCl (1:1 v/v). The treatment should be kept short (~ 10 min) to avoid the loss of AlFe_2B_2 , which also dissolves in dilute HCl but at a much slower rate. A comparison of PXRD patterns at different stages of the





Figure 1. PXRD patterns of AlFe_2B_2 obtained by arc-, RF-, and MW-melting, followed by homogenizing annealing. The $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ impurity peaks are labeled with asterisks. The calculated PXRD patterns for AlFe_2B_2 and $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ are provided for comparison. The intensity redistribution, as compared to the calculated pattern of AlFe_2B_2 , is caused by the texturing effect due to preferred faceting of crystallites parallel to the $(0k0)$ planes.

reaction workup clearly demonstrates a dramatic improvement in the sample purity after treatment with HCl (Figure 1).

An alternative route to the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 is RF-melting, which was used by Jeitschko in one of the first reported syntheses of this compound in 1969¹⁷ (the other report, published in the same year, used the arc-melting technique²⁰). We found that RF-melting also led to the presence of an $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ impurity (Figure S1), which had to be removed by dissolution in dilute HCl. Once the ingot obtained by RF-melting of a pelletized mixture of elements had been crushed and treated with HCl, the PXRD pattern of the resulting sample revealed a phase-pure AlFe_2B_2 (Figure 1).


The presence of the $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ impurity is intrinsic to any synthetic protocol that aims to produce AlFe_2B_2 from Al-rich mixtures. The majority of works that reported phase-pure AlFe_2B_2 achieved their target by employing the postsynthetic treatment with dilute acid (Table 1). Strangely, another aspect of this synthesis appears to have been overlooked. Since $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ forms as a byproduct, the reaction that uses an equimolar Fe/B ratio is inevitably nonstoichiometric with respect to the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 :



It is easy to see that eq 1 simply cannot be balanced, because for any positive values of p and q we find that $b > c$; thus, the equimolar condition cannot be satisfied. Indeed, by performing PXRD analysis immediately after melting the mixtures of elements taken in the Al/Fe/B ratios of 3:2:2 (arc-melting⁷) or 7:7:6 (RF-melting¹⁷), we found traces of AlB_2 in the reaction products (Figure S2). Of course, the formation of AlB_2 can be attributed to the highly nonequilibrium conditions of these high-temperature synthetic procedures, but it can also be

understood as the need to balance the formation of $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$, which decreases the Fe/B ratio below the value of 1, required for the stoichiometric formation of AlFe_2B_2 . The homogenizing annealing at 900 °C led to the disappearance of the AlB_2 byproduct (at the level of PXRD sensitivity), which is likely explained by its reaction with some amount of $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ to form more of AlFe_2B_2 . Nevertheless, balancing eq 1 requires some other byproduct to be present in the reaction mixtures that used the equimolar or nearly equimolar Fe/B ratio in the nominal composition. We suggest that the annealed samples might contain traces of amorphous boron not detectable by the PXRD analysis.

With these considerations in mind, we decided to move away from the typical stoichiometry reported for the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 by arc-melting or RF-melting and to follow the stoichiometry dictated by a balanced chemical equation, for example:



We found that using such a stoichiometry results in the disappearance of the AlB_2 byproduct from the PXRD patterns recorded for the arc- or RF-melted samples prior to homogenizing annealing. Consequently, we used this stoichiometry to develop a new method for the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 .

An appealing alternative to the arc- and RF-melting methods is microwave (MW) synthesis,^{18,25–28} which has been proven effective for the preparation of intermetallics compounds, e.g., Ti_3SiC_2 ,²⁹ MnFe_2Si ,³⁰ and Mg_2Sn .³¹ The MW heating provides for concentrated delivery of thermal energy to the metallic mixture.³² Such rapid heating, however, should be carefully controlled to avoid an implosion of the evacuated tube that




Figure 2. Scheme of the microwave reactor setup and the visual progression of the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 in the MW cavity as a function of time from left to right.




Figure 3. Temperature dependence of field-cooled magnetization of AlFe_2B_2 for the arc- and RF-melted samples prepared with different Al/Fe/B ratios (a) and for the arc-, RF-, and MW-melted samples prepared with the same ratio (b). The insets show an enlarged portion of the plots near the FM ordering temperature.

encloses the crucible with the reactants. We found that adding glass wool above and below the alumina crucible provides sufficient heat insulation, making the quartz tube stable for a longer time. The mixture of elements taken in the Al/Fe/B ratio of 3:2.6:2 was subjected to 5 min of heating in a 0.5 kW MW reactor, which led to the fast increase in the temperature of the reactants (Figure 2). After homogenizing annealing at 900 °C, PXRD revealed AlFe_2B_2 as the major phase and $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ as a byproduct (Figure S1), which was eliminated by treatment with dilute HCl to yield a phase-pure AlFe_2B_2 (Figure 1).

When the three methodologies discussed in this paper are compared, all of them are valid methods for the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 , although an additional treatment with acid is required to achieve a phase-pure sample. Given the interest of AlFe_2B_2 as a promising magnetic refrigerant, we decided to compare the magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of the materials obtained by different synthetic techniques.

Magnetic Properties and Magnetocaloric Effect.

Magnetic measurements performed on the samples that were synthesized using the earlier reported Al/Fe/B ratios of 3:2:2 (arc-melting) and 7:7:6 (RF-melting) revealed the FM ordering at $T_C = 280$ and 293 K, respectively (Figure 3a; the T_C values were determined from the Arrott plots shown in Figure S3). On the other hand, the arc-melted and RF-melted samples prepared with the 3:2.6:2 ratio showed $T_C = 286$ and 287 K, respectively, which was similar to $T_C = 287$ K determined for the MW-melted sample prepared with the same ratio (Figure 3b). Recently, Lejeune et al. have shown that the T_C for AlFe_2B_2 is sensitive to antisite defects that result in a narrow stoichiometry range according to the formula $\text{Al}_{1-y}\text{Fe}_{2+y}\text{B}_2$ ($-0.01 \leq y \leq 0.01$).³³ Despite the narrowness of this range, it has been established that the T_C value increases from 280 to 315 K as the Al/Fe ratio decreases. These findings explain the variation in T_C observed for our samples: the decrease in the Al/Fe loading ratio also leads to higher FM

ordering temperatures, while the samples prepared with the same loading ratio of 3:2.6:2 show very similar T_C values (Table 2). Thus, although the excess of Al is used in these

Table 2. Magnetic Properties of the Samples of AlFe_2B_2 Prepared by Different Methods

synthetic method	Al/Fe/B ratio	T_C , K	$-\Delta S_m$, $\text{J kg}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$ (2 T/5 T)
arc-melting	3:2:2	280	$\sim 2.62/5.56$
	3:2.6:2	286	$3.75/7.21$
RF-melting	7:7:6	293	$\sim 3.10/6.75$
	3:2.6:2	287	$3.38/6.47$
MW-melting	3:2.6:2	287	$3.31/6.45$

reactions to suppress the formation of the FeB byproduct, while the $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ byproduct is subsequently removed by acid treatment, it appears, quite reasonably, that the larger excess of Al favors Al-enriched antisite defects ($y < 0$) in $\text{Al}_{1-y}\text{Fe}_{2+y}\text{B}_2$.

The influence of the Al/Fe loading ratio on the magnetic properties can also be seen in the evolution of field-dependent magnetization measured at 1.8 K. The saturation magnetization values found for the arc-melted sample with the Al/Fe/B = 3:2:2 ratio and the RF-melted one with the 7:7:6 ratio were 0.93 and $1.28 \mu_B$ per Fe atom, respectively (Figure 4a). In contrast, the values found for the arc-, RF-, and MW-melted samples prepared with the 3:2.6:2 ratio were more consistent, 1.23, 1.13, and $1.11 \mu_B$ per Fe atom, respectively (Figure 4b). These values are comparable to the range of magnetization values reported for AlFe_2B_2 .¹²

The magnetocaloric effect was measured as a magnetic entropy change (ΔS_m) calculated for each sample from a set of magnetization isotherms (Figure S3) collected within the range of 40 K below and above the T_C value. The Maxwell eq 3 was approximated with the sum (4):

$$\Delta S_m(T, \Delta H) = \int_0^{H_{\max}} \left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial T} \right)_H dH \quad (3)$$

$$\Delta S_m(T_i, \Delta H) = \sum_0^{H_{\max}} \frac{M_i - M_{i-1}}{T_i - T_{i-1}} \Delta H \quad (4)$$

where $T_i - T_{i-1}$ is the incremental change in temperature, $M_i - M_{i-1}$ is the incremental change in magnetization, and ΔH is

the change in the magnetic field. Using eq 4, $-\Delta S_m$ was calculated for each sample for $H_{\max} = 2$ and 5 T (Table 2).

The change in the Al/Fe loading ratio, clearly, results in the large variation in the T_C and $-\Delta S_m$ values, with the Al-rich content being detrimental to the MCE (Figure 5a). Even taking into account the substantial error involved in the indirect MCE measurement with eq 4, the decrease in the magnitude of the MCE peak for the arc-melted sample with the Al/Fe/B ratio of 3:2:2 is obvious. Keeping the same loading ratio, Al/Fe/B = 3:2.6:2, results in more consistent MCE values as shown by the comparison of samples prepared by different methods (Figure 5b). Among these, the maximum MCE was observed for the arc-melted sample, $-\Delta S_m = 3.75 \text{ J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ at $H_{\max} = 2$ T and $-\Delta S_m = 7.21 \text{ J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$ at $H_{\max} = 5$ T, with the values for the RF- and MW-melted samples being only slightly lower. These values are in good agreement with those previously reported by us⁷ and other groups.^{15,33–35}

CONCLUSIONS

This work demonstrates the utility of three different synthetic routes for the preparation of AlFe_2B_2 , with the introduction of microwave (MW) melting as a new technique for the synthesis of this material. The magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of the MW-melted sample are similar to those measured on the arc- and RF-melted samples, as long as the samples are prepared with the same Al/Fe/B loading ratio. The increase in the Al loading results in the decrease in the ferromagnetic ordering temperature (T_C) and deterioration of magnetocaloric properties. Such behavior is well explained by the recently demonstrated influence of minor deviations from the ideal 1:2:2 stoichiometry on the T_C value of AlFe_2B_2 . Interestingly, the change in the synthetic method has a relatively weak influence on the magnetic ordering and magnetocaloric effect.

We also find that, from the synthetic point of view, the initial loading that uses an equimolar Fe/B ratio is not optimal for the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 . Indeed, the intentional formation of the $\text{Al}_{13}\text{Fe}_4$ byproduct, to avoid the presence of a ferromagnetic FeB impurity, necessitates the use of nominal loadings with $\text{Fe/B} > 1$ to satisfy the reaction stoichiometry. It will be interesting to explore this oversight in the synthesis of AlFe_2B_2 to understand whether the stoichiometrically correct synthesis can be used to improve the yield of the target phase and how it




Figure 4. Field-dependent magnetization of AlFe_2B_2 measured at 1.8 K for the arc- and RF-melted samples prepared with different Al/Fe/B ratios (a) and for the arc-, RF-, and MW-melted samples prepared with the same ratio (b).




Figure 5. Magnetic entropy change of AlFe_2B_2 calculated for the indicated magnetic field change for the arc- and RF-melted samples prepared with different Al/Fe/B ratios (a) and for the arc-, RF-, and MW-melted samples prepared with the same ratio of 3:2.6:2 (b).

can influence the magnetic properties. Results of such studies will be reported in due course.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.0c01731>.

Additional PXRD patterns; the isotherms used to calculate the magnetocaloric effect; SEM images (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Michael Shatruk – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United States; National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Tallahassee, Florida 32310, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-2883-4694; Email: mshatruk@fsu.edu

Authors

Dallas K. Mann – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United States

YiXu Wang – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United States; School of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, P.R. China

Jeanette D. Marks – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United States; Sarasota Military Academy, Sarasota, Florida 34236, United States

Geoffrey F. Strouse – Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, United States; orcid.org/0000-0003-0841-282X

Complete contact information is available at: <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.inorgchem.0c01731>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation (award DMR-1905499 to M.S.) and in part by

the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (award CRADA-039811 to G.F.S.). Y.X.W. acknowledges the Chinese Scholarship Council for supporting his visit to Florida State University (award No. 201706460046). J.D.M. was supported by the Research Experience for Teachers program administered by the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory, which is supported by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1644779 and the state of Florida.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Moya, X.; Kar-Narayan, S.; Mathur, N. D. Caloric materials near ferroic phase transitions. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 439–450.
- (2) Weiss, P.; Piccard, A. Le phénomène magnétocalorique. *J. Phys. Theor. Appl.* **1917**, *7*, 103–109.
- (3) Pecharsky, V. K.; Gschneidner, K. A., Jr. Giant magnetocaloric effect in $\text{Gd}_5(\text{Si}_2\text{Ge}_2)$. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *78*, 4494–4497.
- (4) Franco, V.; Blázquez, J. S.; Ingale, B.; Conde, A. The magnetocaloric effect and magnetic refrigeration near room temperature: materials and models. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **2012**, *42*, 305–342.
- (5) Biswas, A.; Pathak, A. K.; Zarkevich, N. A.; Liu, X.; Mudryk, Y.; Balema, V.; Johnson, D. D.; Pecharsky, V. K. Designed materials with the giant magnetocaloric effect near room temperature. *Acta Mater.* **2019**, *180*, 341–348.
- (6) Morrison, K.; Lyubina, J.; Moore, J. D.; Sandeman, K. G.; Gutfleisch, O.; Cohen, L. F.; Caplin, A. D. Magnetic refrigeration: phase transitions, itinerant magnetism and spin fluctuations. *Philos. Mag.* **2012**, *92*, 292–303.
- (7) Tan, X.; Chai, P.; Thompson, C. M.; Shatruk, M. Magnetocaloric effect in AlFe_2B_2 : toward magnetic refrigerants from earth-abundant elements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 9553–9557.
- (8) Chai, P.; Stoian, S. A.; Tan, X. Y.; Dube, P. A.; Shatruk, M. Investigation of magnetic properties and electronic structure of layered-structure borides AlT_2B_2 ($T = \text{Fe}, \text{Mn}, \text{Cr}$) and $\text{AlFe}_{2-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{B}_2$. *J. Solid State Chem.* **2015**, *224*, 52–61.
- (9) Cedervall, J.; Andersson, M. S.; Sarkar, T.; Delczeg-Czirjak, E. K.; Bergqvist, L.; Hansen, T. C.; Beran, P.; Nordblad, P.; Sahlberg, M. Magnetic structure of the magnetocaloric compound AlFe_2B_2 . *J. Alloys Compd.* **2016**, *664*, 784–791.
- (10) (a) Lewis, L. H.; Barua, R.; Lejeune, B. Developing magnetofunctionality: Coupled structural and magnetic phase transition in AlFe_2B_2 . *J. Alloys Compd.* **2015**, *650*, 482–488. (b) Oey, Y. M.; Bocarsly, J. D.; Levin, E. E.; Mann, D.; Shatruk, M.; Seshadri, R. Structural changes upon magnetic ordering in magnetocaloric AlFe_2B_2 . *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2020**, *116*, 212403.
- (11) Levin, E. M.; Jensen, B. A.; Barua, R.; Lejeune, B.; Howard, A.; McCallum, R. W.; Kramer, M. J.; Lewis, L. H. Effects of Al content

and annealing on the phases formation, lattice parameters, and magnetization of $\text{Al}_x\text{Fe}_2\text{B}_2$ ($x = 1.0, 1.1, 1.2$) alloys. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2018**, *2*, No. 034403.

(12) Kota, S.; Sokol, M.; Barsoum, M. W. A progress report on the MAB phases: atomically laminated, ternary transition metal borides. *Int. Mater. Rev.* **2020**, *65*, 226–255.

(13) Lamichhane, T. N.; Xiang, L.; Lin, Q.; Pandey, T.; Parker, D. S.; Kim, T.-H.; Zhou, L.; Kramer, M. J.; Bud'ko, S. L.; Canfield, P. C. Magnetic properties of single crystalline itinerant ferromagnet AlFe_2B_2 . *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2018**, *2*, No. 084408.

(14) Ade, M.; Hillebrecht, H. Ternary borides Cr_2AlB_2 , Cr_3AlB_4 , and Cr_4AlB_6 : the first members of the series $(\text{CrB}_2)_n\text{CrAl}$ with $n = 1, 2, 3$ and a unifying concept for ternary borides as MAB-phases. *Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *54*, 6122–6135.

(15) Hirt, S.; Yuan, F.; Mozharivskiy, Y.; Hillebrecht, H. $\text{AlFe}_{2-x}\text{Co}_x\text{B}_2$ ($x = 0–0.30$): T_C tuning through Co substitution for a promising magnetocaloric material realized by spark plasma sintering. *Inorg. Chem.* **2016**, *55*, 9677–9684.

(16) Du, Q.; Chen, G.; Yang, W.; Wei, J.; Hua, M.; Du, H.; Wang, C.; Liu, S.; Han, J.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, J. Magnetic frustration and magnetocaloric effect in $\text{AlFe}_{2-x}\text{Mn}_x\text{B}_2$ ($x = 0–0.5$) ribbons. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2015**, *48*, 335001.

(17) Jeitschko, W. Crystal structure of Fe_2AlB_2 . *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem.* **1969**, *25*, 163–165.

(18) Kitchen, H. J.; Vallance, S. R.; Kennedy, J. L.; Tapia-Ruiz, N.; Carassiti, L.; Harrison, A.; Whittaker, A. G.; Drysdale, T. D.; Kingman, S. W.; Gregory, D. H. Modern microwave methods in solid-state inorganic materials chemistry: from fundamentals to manufacturing. *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 1170–1206.

(19) X'Pert HighScore Plus software, v. 2.2b; PANalytical B.V.: Almelo, Netherlands, 2006.

(20) Kuz'ma, Y.; Chaban, N. F. Crystalline structure of Fe_2AlB_2 . *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Neorg. Mater.* **1969**, *5*, 384–385.

(21) Mann, D. K.; Xu, J.; Mordvinova, N. E.; Yannello, V.; Ziouani, Y.; González-Ballesteros, N.; Sousa, J. P. S.; Lebedev, O. I.; Kolen'ko, Y. V.; Shatruk, M. Electrocatalytic water oxidation over AlFe_2B_2 . *Chem. Sci.* **2019**, *10*, 2796–2804.

(22) Ali, T.; Khan, M. N.; Ahmed, E.; Ali, A. Phase analysis of AlFe_2B_2 by synchrotron X-ray diffraction, magnetic and Mössbauer studies. *Prog. Nat. Sci.* **2017**, *27*, 251–256.

(23) Cedervall, J.; Häggström, L.; Ericsson, T.; Sahlberg, M. Mössbauer study of the magnetocaloric compound AlFe_2B_2 . *Hyperfine Interact.* **2016**, *237*, 47.

(24) Kádas, K.; Iuşan, D.; Hellsvik, J.; Cedervall, J.; Berastegui, P.; Sahlberg, M.; Jansson, U.; Eriksson, O. AlM_2B_2 ($M = \text{Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni}$): a group of nanolaminated materials. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2017**, *29*, 155402.

(25) Gerbec, J. A.; Magana, D.; Washington, A.; Strouse, G. F. Microwave-Enhanced Reaction Rates for Nanoparticle Synthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 15791–15800.

(26) Washington, A. L., II; Strouse, G. F. Microwave synthesis of CdSe and CdTe nanocrystals in nonabsorbing alkanes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 8916–8922.

(27) Washington, A. L.; Strouse, G. F. Microwave Synthetic Route for Highly Emissive TOP/TOP-S Passivated CdS Quantum Dots. *Chem. Mater.* **2009**, *21*, 3586–3592.

(28) Levin, E. E.; Grebenkemper, J. H.; Pollock, T. M.; Seshadri, R. Protocols for high temperature assisted-microwave preparation of inorganic compounds. *Chem. Mater.* **2019**, *31*, 7151–7159.

(29) Dmitruk, A.; Naplocha, K.; Lagos, M.; Egizabal, P.; Grzedza, J. Microwave assisted self-propagating high-temperature synthesis of Ti_3SiC_2 max phase. *Compos. Theory Pract.* **2018**, *18*, 241–244.

(30) Bocarsly, J. D.; Levin, E. E.; Garcia, C. A. C.; Schwennicke, K.; Wilson, S. D.; Seshadri, R. A simple computational proxy for screening magnetocaloric compounds. *Chem. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1613–1622.

(31) Fan, Z.; Cappelluti, M. D.; Gregory, D. H. Ultrafast, energy-efficient synthesis of intermetallics; microwave-induced metal plasma

(MIMP) synthesis of Mg_2Sn . *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* **2019**, *7*, 19686–19698.

(32) Siebert, J. P.; Hamm, C. M.; Birkel, C. S. Microwave heating and spark plasma sintering as non-conventional synthesis methods to access thermoelectric and magnetic materials. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* **2019**, *6*, No. 041314.

(33) Lejeune, B. T.; Schlagel, D. L.; Jensen, B. A.; Lograsso, T. A.; Kramer, M. J.; Lewis, L. H. Effects of Al and Fe solubility on the magnetofunctional properties of AlFe_2B_2 . *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2019**, *3*, No. 094411.

(34) Barua, R.; Lejeune, B. T.; Jensen, B. A.; Ke, L.; McCallum, R. W.; Kramer, M. J.; Lewis, L. H. Enhanced room-temperature magnetocaloric effect and tunable magnetic response in Ga- and Ge-substituted AlFe_2B_2 . *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, *777*, 1030–1038.

(35) Zhang, Z.; Yao, G.; Zhang, L.; Jia, P.; Fu, X.; Cui, W.; Wang, Q. Magnetic phase transition and room-temperature magnetocaloric effects in $(\text{Al},\text{M})\text{Fe}_2\text{B}_2$ ($M = \text{Si, Ga}$) compounds. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2019**, *484*, 154–158.